

Volume 1 of 2

Final Feasibility Report and Environmental Impact Statement

Rio Salado Oeste



Salt River-Phoenix, Arizona

Prepared by:



**US Army Corps
of Engineers**

Los Angeles District
South Pacific Division



City of Phoenix

September 2006

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report summarizes technical and feasibility study planning efforts undertaken to date to establish existing, future without-project, and future with-project conditions within the Rio Salado Oeste, Salt River study area in Phoenix, Arizona, to examine the measures and alternatives developed, and to present a recommended plan. This Feasibility Report serves to document plan formulation efforts in the development of potential alternatives for ecosystem restoration. These efforts will culminate in a complete feasibility report that identifies and recommends an implementable solution to improve the overall ecological health of the river and reestablish a more stable, less degraded, and sustainable condition.

The primary problem and focus of much of the efforts discussed in the report relates to the severe degradation and loss of riparian habitat along the Salt River. Historically, the study area supported significant biological resources including extensive riparian and marsh habitats. Urban development, diversion of water to support agriculture, and domestic livestock grazing have eliminated or altered most of the natural vegetation communities that occupied the study area leaving only scattered remnants of the original vegetation communities. Modifications of the river system, such as damming and flow diversion, currently do not allow flows through the study area except during flood events. In addition, sand and gravel mining operations have induced additional changes to the river channel and hydrology. As diversions of water increased, the perennial flows in the river ceased, causing the groundwater table to drop. These changes in hydrological conditions caused the natural riparian ecosystem to decline resulting in only small, isolated fragments of this former habitat remain. Today, the study area consists of a highly disturbed riverbed with minimal extant native vegetation.

This Feasibility Report includes identification of problems, opportunities, constraints, and planning objectives. A wide range of technical issues were analyzed with the goal of developing an accurate description of historic, existing, and future without-project conditions within the study area. This baseline assessment serves to identify, confirm, and refine problems, opportunities, and planning objectives and to guide the formulation of solutions. The major technical areas of focus for the study include hydrology and hydraulics, vegetation and wildlife habitat, cultural resources, projections on growth and development, and water availability and extent, particularly in reference to its effect on the riparian zone. Chapter 4 of this report details all of the areas of evaluation that comprise the without-project conditions. Detailed documentation of technical studies is included in the study's Technical Appendices, under separate cover. This report also develops and discusses potential solutions as a guide to potential Federal and non-Federal involvement in a restoration project and as a resource to assist in the decision-making. It provides a description and discussion of the likely array of alternative plans, including their benefits, costs, and environmental effects, and outputs. Chapter 5 of this report presents the results of the plan formulation process used in the development of alternatives. Assessments of the impacts of each alternative are also presented in Chapter 5. Chapter 6 identifies and describes the coordinated implementable solution that best meets the planning objectives of a comprehensive ecosystem restoration through the study area.

This study effort is a joint partnership of the City of Phoenix and the Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District. A wide variety of management measures were identified for use in developing full-scale alternatives.

Based on the cost-effectiveness and incremental cost evaluation, together with the analysis of impacts in the system of accounts and associated evaluation criteria, Alternative 5A is the plan that reasonably maximizes net ecosystem restoration benefits by having the maximum amount of restoration benefits compared to costs. Therefore, Alternative 5A is identified as the NER Plan and is presented as the recommended plan to be considered for implementation.

The total first cost of the project is currently estimated at \$164,950,295 (\$153,776,850 for ecosystem restoration and \$11,173,445 for recreation). Based on the requirements of WRDA 1986, cost-sharing for ecosystem restoration features including all lands, easements, rights-of-way, relocations, and disposal areas (LERRDs) would be 65 percent Federal and 35 percent non-Federal. Cost sharing for the recreation plan would be 50 percent Federal and 50 percent non-Federal, or 0 percent Federal and 100 percent non-Federal, depending upon the features. USACE guidance (ER 1105-2-100, Appendix E) specifies that the level of financial participation by the Corps in recreation development may not increase the Federal cost of the project by more than 10 percent. Thus, the Federal share is currently estimated at \$105,541,675 (\$ 99,954,952.50 for ecosystem restoration and \$5,586,722.50 for recreation). The cost for all operations and maintenance would be the responsibility of the non-Federal sponsor. Annual operation and maintenance for the ecosystem restoration project and recreation is currently estimated at \$2,083,000 and \$800,000 respectively. In addition, all water rights and costs associated with providing water to the project shall be borne by the non-Federal sponsors. The value of this water has been estimated at \$817,000 annually.

The recommended plan provides a habitat value of 847 AAFCU's, or an increase in 267 AAFCU's over without-project conditions. This is a 46 percent increase with project implementation.

The analysis presented in this report shows that the recommended plan is feasible and would provide environmental restoration benefits that serve the public interest. Therefore, it is recommended that the Recommended Plan described herein for ecosystem restoration be authorized for implementation as a Federal project, with such modifications as in the discretion of the Chief of Engineers that may be advisable, and subject to cost sharing and financial arrangements satisfactory to the President and Congress.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER I STUDY AUTHORITY	I-1
CHAPTER II STUDY INFORMATION	II-1
2.1 STUDY PURPOSE AND STUDY SCOPE	II-1
2.2 NEED FOR THE PROJECT/PROPOSED ACTION	II-2
2.3 STUDY AREA	II-3
2.4 HISTORY OF THE INVESTIGATION	II-3
2.5 PLANNING PROCESS AND REPORT ORGANIZATION	II-6
2.5.1 Environmental Operating Principles.....	II-7
CHAPTER III PRIOR STUDIES, REPORTS, AND EXISTING WATER PROJECTS	III-1
3.1 PRIOR STUDIES AND REPORTS	III-1
3.1.1 Water Resources Studies or Reports.....	III-2
3.1.2 Flood Control Studies or Reports	III-4
3.1.3 Recreation and Urban Development Studies or Reports	III-6
3.1.4 Environmental Assessment Studies or Reports	III-9
3.1.5 Studies/Projects Currently Underway.....	III-10
3.2 EXISTING AND ONGOING WATER PROJECTS	III-11
3.2.1 Salt River Project System	III-13
3.2.2 Tres Rios Demonstration Project.....	III-13
3.2.3 Salt River Channelization	III-15
3.2.4 Tempe Town Lake	III-15
3.2.5 Rio Salado Project.....	III-15
3.2.6 Tres Rios Project.....	III-16
3.2.7 Laveen Area Drain Conveyance Corridor	III-16
3.2.8 43rd Avenue/Southern Avenue Basin.....	III-17
3.2.9 Durango Regional Conveyance Channel and 75th Avenue Storm Drain....	III-17
CHAPTER IV EXISTING CONDITIONS	IV-1
4.1 HISTORIC CONDITIONS	IV-1
4.2 EXISTING/CONDITIONS	IV-3
4.2.1 Geology, Topography, and Geomorphology	IV-3

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

4.2.2	Hydrology	IV-6
4.2.3	Hydraulic Conditions	IV-18
4.2.4	Water Supply	IV-18
4.2.5	Land Use	IV-23
4.2.6	Vegetative Habitat	IV-26
4.2.7	Hazardous, Toxic, or Radioactive Waste.....	IV-38
4.2.8	Cultural Resources	IV-40
4.2.9	Socioeconomics	IV-45
4.2.10	Real Estate	IV-52
4.2.11	Recreation	IV-52
4.2.12	Summary of historic and existing conditions.....	IV-57
4.3	EXPECTED FUTURE WITHOUT-PROJECT CONDITION (2010-2060)	IV-58
4.3.1	Hydrology	IV-58
4.3.2	Hydraulic Conditions	IV-59
4.3.3	Biological Resources	IV-61
4.3.4	Land Use	IV-65
4.3.5	Recreation	IV-66
4.3.6	Economics.....	IV-67
CHAPTER V PLAN FORMULATION AND EVALUATION		V-1
5.1	PLANNING PROCESS	V-1
5.2	PROBLEMS AND OPPORTUNITIES	V-2
5.2.1	Public Concerns	V-2
5.2.2	Problems	V-3
5.2.3	Opportunities.....	V-4
5.3	PLANNING OBJECTIVES AND CONSTRAINTS	V-6
5.3.1	Federal Planning Objectives	V-6
5.3.2	Specific Planning Objectives	V-6
5.3.3	Planning Constraints	V-7
5.4	DEVELOPMENT OF ALTERNATIVE PLANS	V-9

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

5.5	ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION PROCESS	V-10
5.6	Preliminary Management Measures	V-11
5.7	ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT	V-13
5.7.1	Flood Damage Reduction	V-13
5.7.2	Ecosystem Restoration.....	V-20
5.7.3	Preliminary Array of Alternatives	V-22
5.7.4	Screening of Second Array of Alternatives	V-28
5.7.5	Detailed Description of Project Measures	V-30
5.8	THIRD/FINAL ARRAY OF ALTERNATIVES	V-38
	Comparison and Evaluation of the Third Array of Alternatives.....	V-41
5.9	EVALUATION OF FINAL ARRAY OF ALTERNATIVES	V-54
5.9.1	System of Accounts	V-54
5.9.2	Associated Evaluation Criteria	V-62
5.10	Selection of the Recommended Plan	V-65
5.11	Risk and Uncertainty	V-68
5.11.1	Flood Damage Reduction	V-68
5.11.2	Ecosystem Restoration.....	V-70
	CHAPTER VI DESCRIPTION OF THE RECOMMENDED PLAN	VI-1
6.1	The Recommended Plan	VI-1
6.2	Plan Features	VI-1
6.2.1	River Channel Restoration.....	VI-1
6.2.2	Stormwater Wetlands.....	VI-2
6.2.3	Revegetation	VI-3
6.2.4	Wetlands	VI-4
6.2.5	Lake Restoration	VI-4
6.2.6	Invasive Species Management.....	VI-5
6.2.7	Water Supply and Distribution	VI-5
6.3	Project Outputs	VI-6
6.4	Maintenance Considerations	VI-7

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

6.5	Recreation Plan	VI-8
6.6	Associated Non-Federal Considerations	VI-9
6.7	Monitoring and Adaptive Management Plan	VI-10
CHAPTER VII PLAN IMPLEMENTATION.....		VII-1
7.1	Study Recommendation	VII-1
7.2	Division of Plan Responsibilities	VII-1
7.2.1	Federal Responsibilities.....	VII-1
7.2.2	Non-Federal Responsibilities.....	VII-2
7.3	Cost Apportionment	VII-6
7.4	Current and Future Work Eligible for Credits	VII-8
7.5	Institutional Requirements	VII-8
7.6	Environmental Requirements	VII-8
7.6.1	National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).....	VII-8
7.6.2	Endangered Species Act.....	VII-9
7.6.3	Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act.....	VII-9
7.6.4	Clean Water Act, Section 404(b)(1).....	VII-9
7.6.5	National Historic Preservation Act.....	VII-10
7.7	Sponsorship Agreements	VII-11
7.8	Procedures for Implementation	VII-11
CHAPTER VIII SUMMARY OF COORDINATION AND PUBLIC VIEWS		VIII-1
8.1	Non-federal views and preferences	VIII-1
8.2	Division of Plan Responsibilities	VIII-1
8.3	Summary of study management, coordination, public views and comments	VIII-3
CHAPTER IX RECOMMENDATIONS		IX-1
CHAPTER X REFERENCES		X-1
PLATES		5

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

LIST OF TABLES

Table IV-1: Major Dams and Reservoirs in the Gila River Basin.....	IV-9
Table IV-2: Types of Water Contaminants in the Lower Salt River.....	IV-13
Table IV-3: Estimated Pre-Development Groundwater Budget for Salt River Valley	IV-14
Table IV-4: Storm water Outfalls within the Study Reach.....	IV-19
Table IV-5: Storm water Outfalls and Estimated Average Runoff in Acre-Feet (AF).....	IV-20
Table IV-6: Discharge-Frequency Values Used in the Existing Conditions Model.....	IV-21
Table IV-7: Wetland Functions Evaluated	IV-34
Table IV-8: Baseline Conditions Analysis Results.....	IV-36
Table IV-9: Floodplain Reach Definitions	IV-47
Table IV-10: Number Of Structures	IV-48
Table IV-11: Without-Project Damages by Reach & Event (Base Year – 2010) (in \$1,000s) ..IV- 49	
Table IV-12: Population and Household Characteristics.....	IV-50
Table IV-13: Projected Population and Annual Growth Rate (AGR) for Maricopa County and the City of Phoenix	IV-50
Table IV-14: Ethnic Population Characteristics in the Vicinity of the Study Area.....	IV-51
Table IV-15: Median Household Income in the Vicinity of the Study Area.....	IV-51
Table IV-16: Property Ownership within the Study Area (100-Year Floodplain)	IV-52
Table IV-17: Parks and Annual Visitors for Community Parks	IV-55
Table IV-18: Cover Types and Projected Acres Without Project.....	IV-64
Table IV-19: Without-Project Expected Annual Damages – Future Conditions (2059) (\$1,000)	IV-67
Table IV-20: Without-Project Equivalent Annual Damages (50 Yrs, 5 1/8 Percent) (\$1,000s) IV- 68	
Table IV-21: Without-Project Equivalent Annual Damages (in \$1,000s).....	IV-68
Table V-1: Benefit/Cost Analysis - Levee Improvements.....	V-16
Table V-2: Benefit/Cost Analysis – Channel Improvements	V-17

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

Table V-3: Non-Structural Flood Damage Reduction Alternatives	V-19
Table V-4: Preliminary Screening of Possible Alternatives	V-24
Table V-5: Summary of Stormwater Outfalls with Recommended Restoration Potential	V-26
Table V-6: Restoration Potential at Each Stormwater Outfall.....	V-27
Table V-7: Second Array of 12 Alternatives	V-30
Table V-8: Final Array of Restoration Alternatives Evaluated	V-40
Table V-9: Water Demand for Alternative Plans	V-41
Table V-10: With-Project Functional Capacity Indices (FCI).....	V-44
Table V-11: With-Project Average Annual Functional Capacity Units (AAFCUs)	V-45
Table V-12: With-Project Average Annual Costs by Alternative (in \$1,000s).....	V-48
Table V-13: Average Annual Costs Per Annual FCU by Alternative (in \$1,000s).....	V-49
Table V-14: Incremental Cost Analysis.....	V-51
Table V-15: Rio Salado Oeste Recreation Plan Baseline Visitation Estimate	V-52
Table V-16: Rio Salado Oeste Recreation Plan with Costs.....	V-53
Table V-17: Recreation Plan Expected Annual Costs	V-57
Table V-18: Regional Economic Development Account	V-58
Table V-19: Environmental Quality (EQ) Account.....	V-60
Table V-20: Other Social Effects Account	V-62
Table V-21: Associated Evaluation Criteria	V-64
Table V-22: Acres of Habitat Restored with the Recommended Plan	V-66
Table VI-1 Alternative 5A Cost Estimate.....	VI-6
Table VI-2 Recreation Plan	VI-8
Table VII-1: Cost Apportionment Recommended Plan.....	VII-7

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure I-1:	Vicinity Map	I-2
Figure I-2:	House Resolution 2425	I-3
Figure II-1	Project Study Area and Project Implementation Area	II-5
Figure III-1:	Location of Other Corps Projects	III-12
Figure III-2:	Salt River Project System	III-14
Figure IV-1	Flows in the Salt River on January 19, 2005	IV-10
Figure IV-2:	Areas of Groundwater Quality Concern	IV-17
Figure IV-3	100-Year Floodplain	IV-22
Figure IV-4:	Depth to Groundwater.....	IV-24
Figure IV-5:	Aggregate Mining Within the Project Area (photograph)	IV-25
Figure IV-6:	Landscape Dominated by Saltcedar.....	IV-27
Figure IV-7:	Scrub-Shrub Lands Dominated by Saltbrush and Rabbitbrush	IV-28
Figure IV-8	Low-Flow Channel with Wetland Vegetation	IV-29
Figure IV-9:	FCI for the Rio Salado Oeste Study Area.....	IV-37
Figure IV-10	Property Ownership and Use within Project Study Area.....	IV-53
Figure IV-11:	Future Without Project.....	IV-63
Figure IV-12	New Subdivision Along Southern Avenue South of the Project	IV-66
Figure V-1:	Approximate Levee Locations	V-14
Figure V-2	Abandoned Gravel Pit Lake (photograph).....	V-25
Figure V-3	Stormwater Outfall at 19th Avenue	V-26
Figure V-4	Cost Effective Analysis	V-50
Figure V-5	Incremental Cost Analysis.....	V-51
Figure VIII-1	City of Phoenix Support Letter.....	VIII-2

Plates

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

LIST OF APPENDICES

(under separate cover)

- Appendix A: Hydrology and Hydraulics
- Appendix B: Water Budget Report and Interior Drainage Report
- Appendix C: Groundwater Modeling
- Appendix D: Groundwater Quality and Hydrogeology Report
- Appendix E: Geotechnical Evaluation
- Appendix F: Modified Phase I Environmental Site Assessment
- Appendix G: Economic Evaluation
- Appendix H: Real Estate
- Appendix I: Functional Assessment Methodology
- Appendix J: Design
- Appendix K: Cost Estimate
- Appendix L: Recreation
- Appendix M: Wetland Restoration
- Appendix N: Monitoring and Adaptive Management