

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>SECTION</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY		ES-1
ES.1 PROJECT PURPOSE.....		ES-1
ES.2 SAMP TENETS.....		ES-1
ES.3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION FOR SAMP/WSAA PROCESS		ES-2
ES.4 ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED SAMP/WSAA PROCESS		ES-8
ES.5 OVERVIEW OF PROGRAMMATIC IMPACT ANALYSIS		ES-9
ES.6 PROGRAMMATIC CUMULATIVE IMPACT ANALYSIS		ES-11
ES.7 GROWTH-INDUCING IMPACTS		ES-24
ES.8 IMPACTS OF ALTERNATIVES.....		ES-24
ES.9 ENVIRONMENTALLY PREFERABLE AND ENVIRONMENTALLY SUPERIOR ALTERNATIVE		ES-27
ES.10 COMPLIANCE WITH THE CWA SECTION 404(B)(1) GUIDELINES		ES-27
ES.11 INTENDED USES OF THIS EIS/EIR		ES-27
1.0 INTRODUCTION		1-1
1.1 BACKGROUND ON SAMP PROGRAM/WSAA PROCESS.....		1-1
1.2 PURPOSE AND NEED.....		1-2
1.2.1 Project Need.....		1-2
1.2.2 Project Purpose		1-5
1.2.2.1 Objectives.....		1-5
1.2.3 EIS/EIR Purpose		1-6
1.3 ORGANIZATION OF THE EIS/EIR		1-6
1.4 AUTHORITY FOR FEDERAL AND STATE LEAD AGENCIES		1-8
1.4.1 Corps Authority		1-8
1.4.2 Department Authority		1-8
1.4.3 Joint Environmental Review Process.....		1-9
1.4.4 Involved Agencies and Participating Applicants		1-11
1.5 REGULATORY FRAMEWORK.....		1-12
1.5.1 Clean Water Act.....		1-12
1.5.2 California Porter-Cologne Water Quality Act		1-17
1.5.3 California Fish and Game Code.....		1-17
1.5.4 California Wetlands Conservation Policy.....		1-18
1.5.5 Federal Endangered Species Act (ESA)		1-18
1.5.6 California Endangered Species Act (CESA)		1-19
2.0 PROPOSED SAMP/WSAA PROCESS AND ALTERNATIVES		2-1
2.1 OVERVIEW OF THE PROPOSED SAMP AND WSAA PROCESS		2-1
2.1.1 SAMP Analytical Framework.....		2-1
2.1.2 Permitting Processes, Including Mitigation Framework		2-14
2.1.3 Strategic Mitigation Plan		2-53
2.1.4 Mitigation Coordination Program.....		2-81
2.1.5 SAMP Implementation		2-83
2.1.6 Beneficial Effects of The Proposed SAMP Permitting/WSAA Process In Comparison To The Current Permitting/Agreement Process		2-85
2.2 ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED SAMP/WSAA PROCESS		2-91

2.2.1	No Project (Existing Case-By-Case Permitting) – Alternative 1.....	2-92
2.2.2	Complete Avoidance (No Permits Issued) – Alternative 2.....	2-93
2.2.3	Avoidance Except For Bridges And Utility Lines (Limited Permitting) – Alternative 3.....	2-94
2.2.4	General Plan Build-Out without Avoidance (Full Permitting) – Alternative 4	2-94
2.2.5	Off-Site Alternatives.....	2-95
3.0	BASELINE CONDITIONS.....	3-1
3.1	AQUATIC, WETLAND AND RIPARIAN HABITATS	3-1
3.1.1	Planning Level Delineation of Riparian Ecosystems.....	3-3
3.1.2	Landscape Level Functional Assessment	3-12
3.1.3	Habitat Integrity	3-14
3.2	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES INCLUDING THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES AND MIGRATION CORRIDORS	3-20
3.2.1	Topographical Relief and Vegetation Communities.....	3-20
3.2.2	Biological Reserves, Designated Wilderness, and Mitigation Areas	3-23
3.2.3	Existing Upland Vegetation Communities	3-26
3.2.4	Threatened and Endangered Wildlife Species	3-30
3.2.5	Threatened and Endangered Plant Resources	3-36
3.2.6	Critical Habitat.....	3-39
3.2.7	Wildlife Movement Corridors.....	3-40
3.3	HYDROLOGY, EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION	3-43
3.3.1	Hydrologic Conditions.....	3-43
3.3.2	Erosion and Sedimentation	3-53
3.3.3	Hydrologic Integrity.....	3-56
3.4	WATER QUALITY	3-58
3.4.1	Surface Water Quality.....	3-58
3.4.2	Total Maximum Daily Loads.....	3-66
3.4.3	NPDES Storm Water Permits, Drainage Area Management Plan and Local Implementation Plans.....	3-70
3.4.4	General NPDES Permit/Waste Discharge Requirements for Short-Term Groundwater Discharges and De Minimus Wastewater Discharges	3-71
3.4.5	General Discharge Prohibition.....	3-72
3.4.6	Antidegradation Policy	3-72
3.4.7	Groundwater Quality	3-72
3.4.8	Water Quality Integrity	3-73
3.5	OTHER RESOURCES AND ISSUES	3-74
3.5.1	Agricultural Resources.....	3-74
3.5.2	Air Quality	3-76
3.5.3	Cultural Resources	3-82
3.5.4	Floodplain Values	3-84
3.5.5	Geology/Soils.....	3-85
3.5.6	Land Use	3-87
3.5.7	Noise	3-93
3.5.8	Public Health and Safety.....	3-94
3.5.9	Recreation	3-98
3.5.10	Socioeconomics	3-103
3.5.11	Transportation/Circulation.....	3-105

3.5.12 Visual Resources.....	3-108
3.5.13 Water Supply and Conservation	3-109
4.0 PROGRAMMATIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT OF SAMP/WSAA PROCESS AND REGULATED ACTIVITIES.....	4-1
4.1 INTRODUCTION	4-1
4.1.1 Defining Significance Thresholds.....	4-1
4.1.2 Direct versus Indirect Impacts	4-2
4.1.3 CEQA Mitigation versus SAMP/WSAA Process Mitigation.....	4-2
4.2 AQUATIC, WETLAND, AND RIPARIAN HABITATS	4-3
4.2.1 Significance Thresholds.....	4-3
4.2.2 Programmatic Impact Analysis - Overview.....	4-4
4.2.3 Programmatic Impact Analysis- Proposed Regulated Activities	4-6
4.3 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES INCLUDING THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES	4-25
4.3.1 Significance Thresholds.....	4-25
4.3.2 Impacts.....	4-26
4.4 HYDROLOGY, EROSION, AND SEDIMENTATION	4-39
4.4.1 Significance Thresholds.....	4-39
4.4.2 Impacts.....	4-40
4.5 WATER QUALITY	4-52
4.5.1 Significance Thresholds.....	4-52
4.5.2 Impacts.....	4-53
4.6 OTHER RESOURCES AND ISSUES	4-70
4.6.1 Agricultural Resources.....	4-70
4.6.2 Air Quality	4-72
4.6.3 Cultural Resources	4-78
4.6.4 Floodplain Values	4-81
4.6.5 Geology/Soils.....	4-81
4.6.6 Land Use	4-83
4.6.7 Noise	4-86
4.6.8 Public Health and Safety.....	4-88
4.6.9 Recreation	4-90
4.6.10 Socioeconomics	4-93
4.6.11 Transportation/Circulation.....	4-94
4.6.12 Visual Resources.....	4-96
4.6.13 Water Supply and Conservation	4-98
5.0 EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES	5-1
5.1 NEPA AND CEQA REQUIREMENTS	5-1
5.2 PROGRAMMATIC ASSESSMENT OF ALTERNATIVES.....	5-2
5.2.1 Aquatic, Riparian and Wetland Habitats	5-2
5.2.1.1 Alternative 1: No Project Alternative	5-2
5.2.1.2 Alternative 2: Complete Avoidance	5-4
5.2.1.3 Alternative 3: Avoidance Except for Bridges and Utility Lines.....	5-5
5.2.1.4 Alternative 4: General Plan Build-out without Avoidance	5-7
5.2.2 Biological Resources Including Threatened and Endangered Species	5-8
5.2.2.1 Alternative 1: No Project Alternative.....	5-8
5.2.2.2 Alternative 2: Complete Avoidance	5-11
5.2.2.3 Alternative 3: Avoidance Except for Bridges and Utility Lines.....	5-12

5.2.2.4	Alternative 4: General Plan Build-out without Avoidance	5-13
5.2.3	Hydrology, Erosion and Sedimentation	5-14
5.2.3.1	Alternative 1: No Project Alternative.....	5-14
5.2.3.2	Alternative 2: Complete Avoidance	5-15
5.2.3.3	Alternative 3: Avoidance Except for Bridges and Utility Lines.....	5-16
5.2.3.4	Alternative 4: General Plan Build-out without Avoidance	5-17
5.2.4	Water Quality.....	5-18
5.2.4.1	Alternative 1: No Project Alternative.....	5-18
5.2.4.2	Alternative 2: Complete Avoidance	5-19
5.2.4.3	Alternative 3: Avoidance Except for Bridges and Utility Lines.....	5-20
5.2.4.4	Alternative 4: General Plan Build-out without Avoidance	5-21
5.2.5	Other Resources	5-22
5.2.5.1	Agricultural Resources.....	5-22
5.2.5.2	Air Quality.....	5-24
5.2.5.3	Cultural Resources	5-26
5.2.5.4	Flood Hazards and Floodplain Values.....	5-28
5.2.5.5	Geology/Soils.....	5-28
5.2.5.6	Land Use.....	5-31
5.2.5.7	Noise.....	5-32
5.2.5.8	Public Health and Safety.....	5-34
5.2.5.9	Recreation.....	5-36
5.2.5.10	Socioeconomics.....	5-38
5.2.5.11	Transportation/Circulation.....	5-39
5.2.5.12	Visual Resources	5-41
5.2.5.13	Water Supply and Conservation.....	5-43
5.3	COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES	5-45
5.4	ENVIRONMENTALLY SUPERIOR ALTERNATIVE AND LEAST ENVIRONMENTALLY SUPERIOR ALTERNATIVE AND LEAST ENVIRONMENTALLY DAMAGING PRACTICABLE ALTERNATIVE	5-47
6.0	CUMULATIVE EFFECTS	6-1
6.1	REGULATORY BACKGROUND	6-1
6.2	APPROACH TO CUMULATIVE IMPACT ANALYSIS.....	6-1
6.3	PROGRAMMATIC CUMULATIVE IMPACT ANALYSIS	6-2
6.3.1	Aquatic, Wetland and Riparian Habitats	6-2
6.3.2	Biological Resources, Including Threatened and Endangered Species	6-4
6.3.3	Hydrology, Erosion and Sedimentation.....	6-6
6.3.4	Water Quality.....	6-7
6.3.5	Other Topic Areas.....	6-9
6.3.5.1	Agricultural Resources.....	6-9
6.3.5.2	Air Quality.....	6-9
6.3.5.3	Cultural Resources	6-10
6.3.5.4	Floodplain Values.....	6-10
6.3.5.5	Geology and Soils	6-11
6.3.5.6	Land Use.....	6-11
6.3.5.7	Noise.....	6-11
6.3.5.8	Public Health & Safety.....	6-12
6.3.5.9	Recreation.....	6-12
6.3.5.10	Socioeconomics.....	6-12
6.3.5.11	Transportation/Circulation.....	6-12
6.3.5.12	Visual Resources	6-13

	6.3.5.13 Water Supply and Conservation.....	6-13
7.0	GROWTH INDUCING IMPACTS	7-1
7.1	CEQA AND NEPA REQUIREMENTS	7-1
7.2	POTENTIAL GROWTH-INDUCING EFFECTS.....	7-1
8.0	OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE IMPACT CONSIDERATIONS	8-1
8.1	SHORT TERM USES VERSUS LONG TERM PRODUCTIVITY	8-1
8.2	IRRETRIEVABLE OR IRREVERSIBLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES	8-1
8.3	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE IMPACTS	8-2
8.3.1	Federal Environmental Justice Requirements.....	8-2
8.3.2	State Environmental Justice Requirements.....	8-2
8.3.3	Study Area Demographics	8-3
8.3.4	Low Income Composition.....	8-3
8.3.5	Impacts	8-4
8.4	FLOODPLAIN EXECUTIVE ORDER	8-4
8.5	WETLAND EXECUTIVE ORDER – NO NET LOSS.....	8-5
8.6	INVASIVE SPECIES EXECUTIVE ORDER.....	8-7
8.7	EFFECTS OF SAMP COORDINATED PERMITTING PROCEDURES ON FUTURE APPLICANTS	8-8
8.7.1	Revocation of Selected Nationwide General Permits	8-9
8.7.2	Permitting Outcomes Before and After the SAMP.....	8-11
8.7.3	Effects of Implementing the RGP	8-12
8.7.4	Effects of Implementing the LOP Procedures	8-12
8.7.5	Effects of Department’s WSA Process as Part of the SAMP’s Coordinated Permitting Processes	8-14
8.7.6	Effects of Implementing the SAMP Mitigation Framework	8-14
8.7.7	Summary	8-15
9.0	CONSISTENCY WITH FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS	9-1
9.1	FEDERAL LAWS.....	9-1
9.1.1	Endangered Species Act	9-1
9.1.2	Section 401 of the Clean Water Act	9-3
9.1.3	Impaired Waters and Total Maximum Daily Loads	9-4
9.1.4	Rivers and Harbors Act.....	9-4
9.1.5	Clean Air Act	9-4
9.1.6	National Historic Preservation Act	9-6
9.1.7	Coastal Zone Management Act.....	9-7
9.1.8	Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act	9-8
9.2	STATE LAWS	9-9
9.2.1	California Water Code	9-9
9.2.2	California Coastal Act.....	9-10
9.2.3	California Endangered Species Act	9-10
10.0	CONSISTENCY WITH REGIONAL AND LOCAL PLANS	10-1
10.1	NATURAL COMMUNITY CONSERVATION PLAN / HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN	10-1
10.1.1	Background	10-1
10.1.2	Relation to the proposed SAMP/WSAA Process	10-2

10.2	NEWPORT BAY / WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PLAN.....	10-3
	10.2.1 Background.....	10-3
	10.2.2 Relation to the proposed SAMP/WSAA Process	10-4
10.3	COUNTY OF ORANGE GENERAL PLAN.....	10-5
	10.3.1 Land Use Element.....	10-5
10.4	ORANCE COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY MASTER PLAN OF ARTERIAL HIGHWAYS.....	10-8
10.5	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS	10-9
10.6	CITY OF IRVINE GENERAL PLAN	10-10
10.7	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE LONG RANGE DEVELOPMENT PLAN (UCI LRD ^P)	10-13
10.8	OTHER MUNICIPAL GENERAL PLANS	10-14
	10.8.1 City of Santa Ana.....	10-15
	10.8.2 City of Tustin	10-15
	10.8.3 City of Newport Beach	10-16
	10.8.4 City of Orange.....	10-16
	10.8.5 City of Lake Forest	10-17
	10.8.6 City of Laguna Hills.....	10-17
	10.8.7 City of Laguna Woods	10-18
11.0	LIST OF PREPARERS.....	11-1
12.0	LIST OF CONSULTING AGENCIES AND PARTICIPANTS	12-1
13.0	ACRONYMS AND GLOSSARY	13-1
	13.1 ACRONYMS	13-1
	13.2 GLOSSARY	13-8
14.0	REFERENCES	14-1