

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 23 January 2012

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Los Angeles District, South Coast Branch. San Pasqual Academy Drainage Project, SPL-2011-01036-RJV

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: The project review area is located within San Pasqual Academy in unincorporated San Diego County, east of the city of Escondido and west of the city of Ramona. The primary drainage in the review area enters the southeast corner of San Pasqual Academy via School House Canyon and traverses the site in a north-west fashion until exiting the site under Academy Road into a grassy area immediately adjacent to San Pasqual Academy football field.

State: CA County/parish/borough: San Diego County City: unincorporated
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): 33.087 **N**, -116.948 **W**.

Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: Santa Ysabel Creek

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Pacific Ocean (San Dieguito Lagoon)

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): San Dieguito River Watershed

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 6 January 2012

Field Determination. Date(s): 23 January 2012

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Are no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

Explain: .

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **Are no** "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):¹

- TNWs, including territorial seas
- Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
- Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
- Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.

Wetlands: acres.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: **Not Applicable.**

Elevation of established OHWM (if known): .

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

Potential Corps jurisdiction associated with the project site totals 0.03 acre/174 linear feet of wetland waters of the U.S. and 0.802 acre/ 1,710 linear feet of non-wetland waters of the U.S. The project area contains three drainage features and two concrete drainage ditches. **Drainage A:** Drainage A totals 0.72 acre/ 1,267 linear feet, consisting of 0.02 acre/63 linear feet of three parameter wetlands and 0.70 acre/1,204 linear feet of earthen ephemeral channel. Drainage A enters the southeast corner of the San Pasqual Academy campus from a natural watershed comprised of Schoolhouse Canyon. The upstream extent of Drainage A, where it enters the project area, consists of a natural unvegetated sandy-bottom feature. Drainage A is then conveyed downstream into an in-line detention basin complex via three (3) 18-inch x 36-inch corrugated metal pipe (CMP) culverts under an existing access road associated with campus facilities. A small portion of the water (~22%) does not enter the culverts and travels over and down the access road (see "Schematic of Proposed Flow Patterns" within the Drainage Study for San Pasqual Academy Residence Construction, prepared by Rick Engineering, revised December 21, 2010). The in-line detention basin complex is comprised of four (4) individual basins which are separated by rock-filled gabion drop-structures, each of which also exhibits evidence of regular maintenance activities. As each basin reaches capacity, flows are then conveyed downstream in a successional manner. Upon reaching the downstream basin, flows enter two (2) 36-inch "China hat" drains and are conveyed via underground pipes for approximately 680 linear feet where they then daylight as the downstream continuation of Drainage A. The downstream continuation of Drainage A extends for approximately 150 linear feet and flows are conveyed under and across Academy Road. An un-grouted rip-rap energy dissipation structure exists immediately downstream of Academy Road, which has been included as the downstream extent of Drainage A, as well as the discharge point from the project area. Flows then cross an area vegetated with turf grass and enter an adjacent citrus orchard as sheet flow. Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM) indicators associated with Drainage A included a break in slope, a change in average sediment texture and a change in vegetation cover (see OHWM data sheets completed by ICF International, dated 7 Oct 2011). For the purpose of this JD, Drainage A has been divided into three reaches. The upstream extent of Drainage A, from where it enters the project area at its upstream end and where it enters the CMP culverts beneath the access road is defined as Reach 1 (photos 1-4). Reach 2 is defined as the area comprised by the series of detention basins and the "China Hat" drain, between the access road and the underground portion of Drainage A (photos 5-13). Reach 3 is defined as the area between the culvert outlets from the underground portion and the downstream limits of the project area (photos 14-17). **Drainage B:** Drainage B totals 0.01 acre/111 linear feet of three parameter wetlands. Drainage B is a constructed feature which conveys nuisance flows from campus dormitory facilities, located on a terrace, to the underground reach of Drainage A via a culvert inlet at its downstream extent. This feature consists of un-grouted rip-rap and contains OHWM indicators such as visible sediment deposition and water staining (photos 20-22). **Drainage C:** Drainage C totals 0.10 acre/ 306 linear feet of ephemeral channel. Drainage C is an artificial trapezoidal earthen channel which is largely unvegetated and exhibits evidence of regular maintenance in the form of heavy equipment tracks. Drainage C is immediately tributary to reach 3 of Drainage A (photos 23-25). **V-ditch 1:** V-ditch 1 is a recently constructed concrete v-ditch and totals 0.001 acre/100 linear feet of ephemeral channel. It contains a 1' wide OHWM evidenced by water staining. V-ditch 1 is tributary to the downstream extent of Drainage A Reach 1 and accepts overland flows from a hill located immediately south of campus (photo 26). **V-ditch 2:** V-ditch 2 is similar in character to V-ditch 1 and also contains a total of 0.001 acre/100 linear feet of ephemeral channel. V-ditch 2, also tributary to the downstream extent of Drainage A Reach 1, accepts runoff from an artificially contoured slope associated with the campus facilities. V-ditch 2 contains a 1' OHWM with visible indicators of water staining and sediment deposition (photo 27).

The main drainage features of the project site (Drainages A, B, C and V-ditches 1 and 2) converge and exit the project via Drainage A Reach 3 under Academy Road, aside from the overland flow at the downstream extent of Drainage A Reach 1. Flows are then conveyed across a large and flat rip-rap energy dissipater (photos 16 & 17) which leads to turf grass associated with the campus football field and then to nearby orchards (photo 18). The overland flow at the downstream extent of Drainage A Reach 1 travels north on the paved access road and exits onto a dirt lot. During large rain events water may flow across the dirt lot into adjacent orchards. Because the drainages enter nearby topographically flat orchards, flows from the campus drainages lose all definition of a channel. Any water leaving the campus would be conveyed via sheet flow through orchards. However, because of the flat topography of the orchards and the relatively small drainage area (approximately 1 square mile) it is unlikely that flows would extend very far into the orchards. In the rare event that they did, flows to the north would be hindered by State Route (SR) 78/San Pasqual Valley Road which is approximately 4 inches to 6 feet higher than the grade of the orchards and does not contain any culverts. Flows to the west would be hindered by a few existing homes and buildings/infrastructure associated with the orchards and by Bandy Canyon Road, which is approximately 1-2 inches higher in grade than the orchards. The majority of the discharge from rain events would soak into the ground within the orchards or turf grass or form short lived ponds. Based on site observation by R.J. Van Sant (Corps) water was not discharging from the project site during the 23 January 2012 site visit, in which approximately 0.22 inches of rain had fallen in the previous 6 hours (based on NOAA rain gauge data). In order for flows to reach Santa Ysabel Creek, approximately 0.5 mile away, water traveling in a north-westerly direction would have to sheet flow across campus turf grass, across approximately 1,400 feet of orchards, across Bandy Canyon Road (which, as noted above, is above the grade of the orchards), and across another 1,100 feet of orchards before reaching the creek. Water traveling in a northerly direction would have to sheet flow across a dirt parking lot, across 800 feet of topographically flat orchards, across SR-78/San Pasqual Valley Road (which, as noted above, is above the grade of the orchards), and across another 1,300 linear feet of orchard before reaching the creek. Although at one point it is likely that the drainages in question discharged to Santa Ysabel Creek, there is no evidence of this in the current state. The surrounding area has been actively farmed for many decades. U.S. Geological Survey topographic maps from 1980 and 1984 illustrate agriculture in the area immediately north and north-west of the campus and the blue-line stream that is mapped on the National Hydrography Dataset (NHD) terminates at the entrance to the project site.

Due to the information listed above the Corps has determined Drainages A, B, C, and V-ditches 1 and 2 to be isolated features with no connection to a downstream TNW and therefore not regulated under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. **TNW**

Identify TNW: _____ .

Summarize rationale supporting determination: _____ .

2. **Wetland adjacent to TNW**

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is “adjacent”: _____ .

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are “relatively permanent waters” (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. **Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.**

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) **General Area Conditions:**

Watershed size: **acres**

Drainage area: **acres**

Average annual rainfall: inches

Average annual snowfall: _____ inches

(ii) **Physical Characteristics:**

(a) **Relationship with TNW:**

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through **Pick List** tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from RPW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: _____ .

Identify flow route to TNW⁵:

Tributary stream order, if known: _____

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

- Tributary is:** Natural
 Artificial (man-made). Explain: .
 Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: Land has been disked for weed and fire abatement.

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

- Average width:
Average depth:
Average side slopes: **Pick List**.

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

- | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Silts | <input type="checkbox"/> Sands | <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cobbles | <input type="checkbox"/> Gravel | <input type="checkbox"/> Muck |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bedrock | <input type="checkbox"/> Vegetation. Type/% cover: | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other. Explain: . | | |

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain:

- Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: .
Tributary geometry: Pick List
Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %

(c) Flow:

- Tributary provides for: **Pick List**
Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: **Pick List**
Describe flow regime: .
Other information on duration and volume: .

Surface flow is: **Pick List**. Characteristics: Due to lack of defined bed and bank.

- Subsurface flow: **Pick List**. Explain findings: .
 Dye (or other) test performed: .

Tributary has (check all that apply):

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bed and banks | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> clear, natural line impressed on the bank | <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of litter and debris |
| <input type="checkbox"/> changes in the character of soil | <input type="checkbox"/> destruction of terrestrial vegetation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> shelving | <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of wrack line |
| <input type="checkbox"/> vegetation matted down, bent, or absent | <input type="checkbox"/> sediment sorting |
| <input type="checkbox"/> leaf litter disturbed or washed away | <input type="checkbox"/> scour |
| <input type="checkbox"/> sediment deposition | <input type="checkbox"/> multiple observed or predicted flow events |
| <input type="checkbox"/> water staining | <input type="checkbox"/> abrupt change in plant community |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other (list): | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain: Upstream and downstream of project site there is a defined bed and bank, but due to disking of property OHWM is not continuous. Based on the upstream and downstream physical evidence of an OHWM, there would be an OHWM on the project site if conditions were normal. | |

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Tide Line indicated by: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mean High Water Mark indicated by: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> oil or scum line along shore objects | <input type="checkbox"/> survey to available datum; |
| <input type="checkbox"/> fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) | <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings; |
| <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings/characteristics | <input type="checkbox"/> vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> tidal gauges | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other (list): | |

(iii) **Chemical Characteristics:**

- Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).
Explain:
Identify specific pollutants, if known: .

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

(iv) **Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):**

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): .
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics: .
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: .

2. **Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

(i) **Physical Characteristics:**

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Wetland size: . acres

Wetland type. Explain: .

Wetland quality. Explain: .

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: .

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: **Pick List**. Explain: .

Surface flow is: **Pick List**

Characteristics: .

Subsurface flow: **Pick List**. Explain findings: .

Dye (or other) test performed: .

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Directly abutting

Not directly abutting

Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: .

Ecological connection. Explain: .

Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: .

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **Pick List** river miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Flow is from: **Pick List**.

Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

(ii) **Chemical Characteristics:**

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: .

Identify specific pollutants, if known: .

(iii) **Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):**

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): .
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: .
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: .

3. **Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)**

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **Pick List**

Approximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres) Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: _____

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
2. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
3. **Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:

- TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
- Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: _____
- Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: _____

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
 - Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters: .

3. Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
 - Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters: .

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
 - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: .
 - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow “seasonally.” Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: .

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹

As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.

- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from “waters of the U.S.,” or
- Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
- Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):¹⁰

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain:
- Other factors. Explain: .

⁸See Footnote # 3.

⁹To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters: .
- Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
 - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
- Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: .
 - Other: (explain, if not covered above): Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:**

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, >width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Aerial maps submitted by ICF International; San Pasqual Academy Student Housing Phase 1 grading plans prepared by Nasland Engineering; San Pasqual Academy Residence Reconstruction prepared by Rick Engineering, dated 22 Dec 2010; Drainage Study for San Pasqual Academy Residence Reconstruction, prepared by Rick Engineering, revised 21 Dec 2010.
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
 - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
 - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
- Corps navigable waters' study: .
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: .
 - USGS NHD data.
 - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: USGS 7.5' Quad, CA: San Pasqual.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: .
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: .
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s): .
- FEMA/FIRM maps: FEMA 100-year floodplain map.
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is:

Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Aerial photos submitted by ICF International (Source: USA Imagery, 2009). On-site photos taken by ICF International, dated 7 Oct 2011.

- or Other (Name & Date):
- Previous determination(s). .
- Applicable/supporting case law: .
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
- Other information (please specify): .

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: See discussion in Section II, part B, subpart 2.