

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD)

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Drainage Feature

State: County/Parish/borough: City:

Center coordinates of site: Lat. Long.

Name of nearest waterbody:

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows:

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):

- Check if map/diagram of review are and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request
- Check if other sites (e.g, offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date:
- Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 in the review area.

- Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
- Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of water of U.S. in review area (Check all the apply):

- TNWs (new)
- Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
- Relatively permanent waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
- Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area

Non-Wetlands waters Linear Feet Width (ft) and/or Acres
Wetlands Acres:

c. Limits (boundaries) of Jurisdiction based on:

2. Non-Regulated Waters/Wetlands (check if applicable):

- Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined not to be jurisdictional:

Explain:

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: Vegetation

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent"

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY)

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

| | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| Watershed Size (sq mi): | 49650 |
| Drainage Area (sq mi): | > 37.7 |
| Average Annual Rainfall (in): | 10.57 |
| Average Annual Snowfall (in): | 1.1 |

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW

- Tributary flows directly to TNW
- Tributary flows through tributaries before entering TNW

Project waters are river miles from TNW

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S Army Cops of Engineers

Feature ID:

Project waters are river Miles from tributary to RPW:
Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from tributary to TNW:
Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from tributary to RPW:
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
Identify flow route to TNW

(b) General Tributary Characteristics

Tributary is: Explain:

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Average Width (ft):
Average Depth (ft):
Average Side Slopes:

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

- Silts Sands Concrete Muck
 Cobbles Gravel
 Bedrock Substrate - Vegetation Other, Explain:

Tributary Condition/Stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks. Explain:
Presence of Run/Riffle/Pool Complexes. Explain:
Tributary Geometry:
Tributary Gradient (approximate average slope):

(c) Flow:

Tributary Provides for:
Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year:
Describe Flow Regime:
Other Information on Duration and Volume:

Surface Flow is: Characteristics:
Subsurface Flow: Explain:
 Dye (or other) test performed:

Tributary Has (Check all that apply):

- Bed and Banks
 OHWM (check all the apply): OHWM Indicators:
 Clear, natural line impressed on the bank Presence of litter and debris
 Changes in soil character Destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 Shelving Presence of wrack line
 Vegetation matted down, bent or absent Sediment sorting
 Leaf litter disturbed or washed away Scour
 Sediment deposition Multiple observed or predicted flow events
 Water staining Abrupt change in plant community

Other (list):

Discontinuous? Explain:

If factors other than the OHWN were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (Check all that apply):

- High tide line indicated by:
- oil or scum line along shore objects
- fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)
- physical markings/characteristics
- tidal gauges
- other
- Mean High water Mark indicated by:
- survey to available datum
- physical markings
- vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize Tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc):

Explain:

Identify Specific Pollutants, if known:

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

Riparian Corridor Characteristics:

Wetland Fringe Characteristics:

Habitat for:

Federally Listed Species Explain findings:

Fish/Spawn Areas Explain findings:

Other environmentally -sensitive species Explain findings:

Aquatic/Wildlife diversity Explain:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics

Properties:

Wetland Size (ac):

Wetland Type, Explain:

Wetland Quality, Explain:

Project Wetlands Cross or Serve as State Boundaries, Explain:

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Wetland Flow is: Explain:

Surface Flow is:

Characteristics:

Subsurface Flow: Explain Findings:

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Wetland Directly Abutting Non-TNW

Wetland Not Directly Abutting Non-TNW

Discrete wetland hydrologic connection Explain:

Ecological connection Explain:

Separated by berm/barrier Explain:

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project Wetlands: River Miles from TNW:

Project Wetlands: Aerial Miles from TNW:

Flow is From: []

Estimate approximate Location of Wetland within Floodplain: []

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics: ect.).

Explain []

Identify specific pollutants, if know:

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

Riparian Buffer Characteristics (type, average width): []

Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: []

Habitat for:

Federally Listed Species Explain: []

Fish/Spawn Areas Explain: []

Other environmentally-sensitive species Explain: []

Aquatic/Wildlife Diversity Explain: []

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in cumulative analysis: []

Wetland acres in total being considered in cumulative analysis: []

Describe each wetland (directly abuts tributary?; size in acres; overall biological, chemical or physical functions):

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to section III.D:

2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:

TNWs Linear Feet: Width (ft): TNW Acres

Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: Acres:

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and Rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:

Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters Linear Feet: Width (Ft).

Other non-wetland waters: Acres:

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion provided at section III.c.

Provide estimates of jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

Length (Linear Feet): Width (feet): Acres:

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting and RPW:

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "Seasonally". Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2 above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting and RPW

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area: Acres:

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area: Acres:

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C..

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area (in acres):

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.

Demonstration of Jurisdiction:

E. ISOLATED WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE

Supporting rationale:

Length (linear feet): Acres:

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS:

Non-Jurisdictional Waters: Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.

Explain finding of no Significant Nexus: None of the ephemeral drainages within the Analysis Area have more than an insubstantial or speculative effect on the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the downgradient TNW reach of the Gila River between Powers Butte and Gillespie Dam.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non wetland-Waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet : width (ft):
- Other Non-wetland Waters MBR acres:
- Wetlands MBR acres:

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters 442.70 linear feet (ft), 8.30 width (ft)
- Other waters acres
- Wetlands acres

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, Plans, Plots or Plat Submitted by Applicant/Consultant: WestLand Resources, Inc.
- Data Sheets Prepared/Submitted by or on behalf of Applicant/Consultant
 - Office Concurs with data sheets/delineation report
 - Office Does Not Concur with data sheets/delineation report
- Data Sheets Prepared by the Corps
- Corps Navigable Water Study
- US Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas
 - USGS NHD Data
 - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC Maps
- US Geological Survey Map(s) Scale and Quad Name: Brown Mountain and San Xavier Mission SW 7.5-Minute Quadrangles

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S Army Cops of Engineers

Feature ID: **F**

- USDA Nat'l Res Conservation Service Soil Survey Citation:
- National Wetlands Inventory Maps Cite Map Name:
- State/Local Wetland Inventory Maps
- FEMA/FIRM Maps:
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Aerial Photographs (Name and Date):
- Other Photographs (Name and Date):
- Previous Determinations File No. and Date of Response Letter:
- Applicable/Supporting Case Law Citation:
- Applicable/Supporting Scientific Literature Citation:
- Other Information, Please Specify:

Additional Comments to Support JD:

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD)

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Drainage Feature

State: County/Parish/borough: City:

Center coordinates of site: Lat. Long.

Name of nearest waterbody:

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows:

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):

- Check if map/diagram of review are and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request
- Check if other sites (e.g, offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date:
- Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 in the review area.

- Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
- Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of water of U.S. in review area (Check all the apply):

- TNWs (new)
- Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
- Relatively permanent waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
- Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area

Non-Wetlands waters Linear Feet Width (ft) and/or Acres
Wetlands Acres:

c. Limits (boundaries) of Jurisdiction based on:

2. Non-Regulated Waters/Wetlands (check if applicable):

- Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined not to be jurisdictional:

Explain:

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: Vegetation

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent"

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY)

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

| | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| Watershed Size (sq mi): | 49650 |
| Drainage Area (sq mi): | > 37.7 |
| Average Annual Rainfall (in): | 10.57 |
| Average Annual Snowfall (in): | 1.1 |

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW

- Tributary flows directly to TNW
- Tributary flows through tributaries before entering TNW

Project waters are river miles from TNW

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S Army Cops of Engineers

Feature ID:

Project waters are river Miles from tributary to RPW:
Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from tributary to TNW:
Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from tributary to RPW:
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
Identify flow route to TNW

(b) General Tributary Characteristics

Tributary is: Explain:

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Average Width (ft):
Average Depth (ft):
Average Side Slopes:

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

- Silts Sands Concrete Muck
 Cobbles Gravel
 Bedrock Substrate - Vegetation Other, Explain:

Tributary Condition/Stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks. Explain:
Presence of Run/Riffle/Pool Complexes. Explain:
Tributary Geometry:
Tributary Gradient (approximate average slope):

(c) Flow:

Tributary Provides for:
Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year:
Describe Flow Regime:
Other Information on Duration and Volume:

Surface Flow is: Characteristics:
Subsurface Flow: Explain:
 Dye (or other) test performed:

Tributary Has (Check all that apply):

- Bed and Banks
 OHWM (check all the apply): OHWM Indicators:
 Clear, natural line impressed on the bank Presence of litter and debris
 Changes in soil character Destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 Shelving Presence of wrack line
 Vegetation matted down, bent or absent Sediment sorting
 Leaf litter disturbed or washed away Scour
 Sediment deposition Multiple observed or predicted flow events
 Water staining Abrupt change in plant community

Other (list):

Discontinuous? Explain:

If factors other than the OHWN were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (Check all that apply):

- High tide line indicated by:
- oil or scum line along shore objects
- fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)
- physical markings/characteristics
- tidal gauges
- other
- Mean High water Mark indicated by:
- survey to available datum
- physical markings
- vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize Tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc):

Explain:

Identify Specific Pollutants, if known:

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

Riparian Corridor Characteristics:

Wetland Fringe Characteristics:

Habitat for:

- Federally Listed Species Explain findings:
- Fish/Spawn Areas Explain findings:
- Other environmentally -sensitive species Explain findings:
- Aquatic/Wildlife diversity Explain:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics

Properties:

Wetland Size (ac):

Wetland Type, Explain:

Wetland Quality, Explain:

Project Wetlands Cross or Serve as State Boundaries, Explain:

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Wetland Flow is: Explain:

Surface Flow is:

Characteristics:

Subsurface Flow: Explain Findings:

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

- Wetland Directly Abutting Non-TNW
- Wetland Not Directly Abutting Non-TNW
 - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection Explain:
 - Ecological connection Explain:
 - Separated by berm/barrier Explain:

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project Wetlands: River Miles from TNW:

Project Wetlands: Aerial Miles from TNW:

Flow is From:

Estimate approximate Location of Wetland within Floodplain:

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics: ect.).

Explain

Identify specific pollutants, if know:

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

Riparian Buffer Characteristics (type, average width):

Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:

Habitat for:

Federally Listed Species Explain:

Fish/Spawn Areas Explain:

Other environmentally-sensitive species Explain:

Aquatic/Wildlife Diversity Explain:

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in cumulative analysis:

Wetland acres in total being considered in cumulative analysis:

Describe each wetland (directly abuts tributary?; size in acres; overall biological, chemical or physical functions):

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNW.

Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to section III.D:

2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:

TNWs Linear Feet: Width (ft): TNW Acres

Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: Acres:

2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs**

Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and Rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:

Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters Linear Feet: Width (Ft).

Other non-wetland waters: Acres:

3. **Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion provided at section III.c.

Provide estimates of jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

Length (Linear Feet): Width (feet): Acres:

4. **Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting and RPW:

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "Seasonally". Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2 above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting and RPW

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area: Acres:

5. **Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area: Acres:

6. **Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C..

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area (in acres):

7. **Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.**

Demonstration of Jurisdiction:

E. ISOLATED WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE

Supporting rationale:

Length (linear feet): Acres:

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS:

Non-Jurisdictional Waters:

Explain finding of no Significant Nexus:

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non wetland-Waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet : width (ft):
- Other Non-wetland Waters MBR acres:
- Wetlands MBR acres:

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters linear feet (ft), width (ft)
- Other waters acres
- Wetlands acres

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, Plans, Plots or Plat Submitted by Applicant/Consultant:
- Data Sheets Prepared/Submitted by or on behalf of Applicant/Consultant
 - Office Concurs with data sheets/delineation report
 - Office Does Not Concur with data sheets/delineation report
- Data Sheets Prepared by the Corps
- Corps Navigable Water Study
- US Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas
 - USGS NHD Data
 - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC Maps
- US Geological Survey Map(s) Scale and Quad Name:

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S Army Cops of Engineers

Feature ID:

- USDA Nat'l Res Conservation Service Soil Survey Citation:
- National Wetlands Inventory Maps Cite Map Name:
- State/Local Wetland Inventory Maps
- FEMA/FIRM Maps:
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Aerial Photographs (Name and Date):
- Other Photographs (Name and Date):
- Previous Determinations File No. and Date of Response Letter:
- Applicable/Supporting Case Law Citation:
- Applicable/Supporting Scientific Literature Citation:
- Other Information, Please Specify:

Additional Comments to Support JD:

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD)

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Drainage Feature

State: County/Parish/borough: City:

Center coordinates of site: Lat. Long.

Name of nearest waterbody:

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows:

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):

- Check if map/diagram of review are and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request
- Check if other sites (e.g, offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date:
- Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 in the review area.

- Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
- Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of water of U.S. in review area (Check all the apply):

- TNWs (new)
- Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
- Relatively permanent waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
- Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area

Non-Wetlands waters Linear Feet Width (ft) and/or Acres
Wetlands Acres:

c. Limits (boundaries) of Jurisdiction based on:

2. Non-Regulated Waters/Wetlands (check if applicable):

- Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined not to be jurisdictional:

Explain:

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: Vegetation

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent"

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY)

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

| | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| Watershed Size (sq mi): | 49650 |
| Drainage Area (sq mi): | > 37.7 |
| Average Annual Rainfall (in): | 10.57 |
| Average Annual Snowfall (in): | 1.1 |

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW

- Tributary flows directly to TNW
- Tributary flows through tributaries before entering TNW

Project waters are river miles from TNW

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S Army Cops of Engineers

Feature ID:

Project waters are river Miles from tributary to RPW:
Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from tributary to TNW:
Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from tributary to RPW:
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
Identify flow route to TNW

(b) General Tributary Characteristics

Tributary is: Explain:

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Average Width (ft):
Average Depth (ft):
Average Side Slopes:

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

- Silts Sands Concrete Muck
 Cobbles Gravel
 Bedrock Substrate - Vegetation Other, Explain:

Tributary Condition/Stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks. Explain:
Presence of Run/Riffle/Pool Complexes. Explain:
Tributary Geometry:
Tributary Gradient (approximate average slope):

(c) Flow:

Tributary Provides for:
Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year:
Describe Flow Regime:
Other Information on Duration and Volume:

Surface Flow is: Characteristics:
Subsurface Flow: Explain:
 Dye (or other) test performed:

Tributary Has (Check all that apply):

- Bed and Banks
 OHWM (check all the apply): OHWM Indicators:
 Clear, natural line impressed on the bank Presence of litter and debris
 Changes in soil character Destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 Shelving Presence of wrack line
 Vegetation matted down, bent or absent Sediment sorting
 Leaf litter disturbed or washed away Scour
 Sediment deposition Multiple observed or predicted flow events
 Water staining Abrupt change in plant community

Other (list):

Discontinuous? Explain:

If factors other than the OHWN were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (Check all that apply):

- High tide line indicated by:
- oil or scum line along shore objects
- fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)
- physical markings/characteristics
- tidal gauges
- other
- Mean High water Mark indicated by:
- survey to available datum
- physical markings
- vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize Tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc):

Explain:

Identify Specific Pollutants, if known:

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

Riparian Corridor Characteristics:

Wetland Fringe Characteristics:

Habitat for:

- Federally Listed Species Explain findings:
- Fish/Spawn Areas Explain findings:
- Other environmentally -sensitive species Explain findings:
- Aquatic/Wildlife diversity Explain:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics

Properties:

Wetland Size (ac):

Wetland Type, Explain:

Wetland Quality, Explain:

Project Wetlands Cross or Serve as State Boundaries, Explain:

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Wetland Flow is: Explain:

Surface Flow is:

Characteristics:

Subsurface Flow: Explain Findings:

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

- Wetland Directly Abutting Non-TNW
- Wetland Not Directly Abutting Non-TNW
 - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection Explain:
 - Ecological connection Explain:
 - Separated by berm/barrier Explain:

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project Wetlands: River Miles from TNW:

Project Wetlands: Aerial Miles from TNW:

Flow is From:

Estimate approximate Location of Wetland within Floodplain:

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics: ect.).

Explain

Identify specific pollutants, if know:

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

Riparian Buffer Characteristics (type, average width):

Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:

Habitat for:

Federally Listed Species Explain:

Fish/Spawn Areas Explain:

Other environmentally-sensitive species Explain:

Aquatic/Wildlife Diversity Explain:

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in cumulative analysis:

Wetland acres in total being considered in cumulative analysis:

Describe each wetland (directly abuts tributary?; size in acres; overall biological, chemical or physical functions):

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to section III.D:

2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:

TNWs Linear Feet: Width (ft): TNW Acres

Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: Acres:

2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs**

Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and Rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:

Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters Linear Feet: Width (Ft).

Other non-wetland waters: Acres:

3. **Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion provided at section III.c.

Provide estimates of jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

Length (Linear Feet): Width (feet): Acres:

4. **Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting and RPW:

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "Seasonally". Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2 above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting and RPW

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area: Acres:

5. **Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area: Acres:

6. **Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C..

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area (in acres):

7. **Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.**

Demonstration of Jurisdiction:

E. ISOLATED WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE

Supporting rationale:

Length (linear feet): Acres:

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS:

Non-Jurisdictional Waters:

Explain finding of no Significant Nexus:

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non wetland-Waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet : width (ft):
- Other Non-wetland Waters MBR acres:
- Wetlands MBR acres:

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters linear feet (ft), width (ft)
- Other waters acres
- Wetlands acres

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, Plans, Plots or Plat Submitted by Applicant/Consultant:
- Data Sheets Prepared/Submitted by or on behalf of Applicant/Consultant
 - Office Concurs with data sheets/delineation report
 - Office Does Not Concur with data sheets/delineation report
- Data Sheets Prepared by the Corps
- Corps Navigable Water Study
- US Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas
 - USGS NHD Data
 - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC Maps
- US Geological Survey Map(s) Scale and Quad Name:

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S Army Cops of Engineers

Feature ID: **G**

- USDA Nat'l Res Conservation Service Soil Survey Citation:
- National Wetlands Inventory Maps Cite Map Name:
- State/Local Wetland Inventory Maps
- FEMA/FIRM Maps:
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Aerial Photographs (Name and Date):
- Other Photographs (Name and Date):
- Previous Determinations File No. and Date of Response Letter:
- Applicable/Supporting Case Law Citation:
- Applicable/Supporting Scientific Literature Citation:
- Other Information, Please Specify:

Additional Comments to Support JD:

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD)

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Drainage Feature

State: County/Parish/borough: City:

Center coordinates of site: Lat. Long.

Name of nearest waterbody:

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows:

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):

- Check if map/diagram of review are and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request
- Check if other sites (e.g, offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date:
- Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 in the review area.

- Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
- Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of water of U.S. in review area (Check all the apply):

- TNWs (new)
- Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
- Relatively permanent waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
- Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area

Non-Wetlands waters Linear Feet Width (ft) and/or Acres
Wetlands Acres:

c. Limits (boundaries) of Jurisdiction based on:

2. Non-Regulated Waters/Wetlands (check if applicable):

- Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined not to be jurisdictional:
Explain:

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: Vegetation

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent"

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY)

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

| | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| Watershed Size (sq mi): | 49650 |
| Drainage Area (sq mi): | > 37.7 |
| Average Annual Rainfall (in): | 10.57 |
| Average Annual Snowfall (in): | 1.1 |

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW

- Tributary flows directly to TNW
- Tributary flows through tributaries before entering TNW

Project waters are river miles from TNW

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S Army Cops of Engineers

Feature ID: G1

Project waters are 30 (or more) river Miles from tributary to RPW:
Project waters are 30 (or more) aerial (straight) miles from tributary to TNW:
Project waters are 30 (or more) aerial (straight) miles from tributary to RPW:
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW Black Wash, Brawley Wash, Greene Canal, Green Wash, Santa Rosa Wash, Santa Cruz Wash, then to Gila River.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics

Tributary is: Natural Explain:

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Average Width (ft): 4.60
Average Depth (ft):
Average Side Slopes:

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

- Silts Sands Concrete Muck
- Cobbles Gravel
- Bedrock Substrate - Vegetation Other, Explain:

Tributary Condition/Stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks. Explain: Stable.

Presence of Run/Riffle/Pool Complexes. Explain: Not present.

Tributary Geometry: Relatively Straight

Tributary Gradient (approximate average slope): 1%

(c) Flow:

Tributary Provides for: Ephemeral Flow

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 2-5

Describe Flow Regime: Ephemeral

Other Information on Duration and Volume:

Surface Flow is: Discrete Characteristics:

Subsurface Flow: No Explain:

Dye (or other) test performed:

Tributary Has (Check all that apply):

- Bed and Banks
- OHWM (check all the apply): OHWM Indicators:
 - Clear, natural line impressed on the bank
 - Changes in soil character
 - Shelving
 - Vegetation matted down, bent or absent
 - Leaf litter disturbed or washed away
 - Sediment deposition
 - Water staining
 - Presence of litter and debris
 - Destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 - Presence of wrack line
 - Sediment sorting
 - Scour
 - Multiple observed or predicted flow events
 - Abrupt change in plant community

Other (list):

Discontinuous? Explain:

If factors other than the OHWN were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (Check all that apply):

- High tide line indicated by:
- oil or scum line along shore objects
- fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)
- physical markings/characteristics
- tidal gauges
- other
- Mean High water Mark indicated by:
- survey to available datum
- physical markings
- vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize Tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc):

Explain:

Identify Specific Pollutants, if known:

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian Corridor Characteristics:
- Wetland Fringe Characteristics:

Habitat for:

- Federally Listed Species Explain findings:
- Fish/Spawn Areas Explain findings:
- Other environmentally -sensitive species Explain findings:
- Aquatic/Wildlife diversity Explain:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics

Properties:

Wetland Size (ac):

Wetland Type, Explain:

Wetland Quality, Explain:

Project Wetlands Cross or Serve as State Boundaries, Explain:

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Wetland Flow is: Explain:

Surface Flow is:

Characteristics:

Subsurface Flow: Explain Findings:

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

- Wetland Directly Abutting Non-TNW
- Wetland Not Directly Abutting Non-TNW
 - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection Explain:
 - Ecological connection Explain:
 - Separated by berm/barrier Explain:

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project Wetlands: River Miles from TNW:

Project Wetlands: Aerial Miles from TNW:

Flow is From:

Estimate approximate Location of Wetland within Floodplain:

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics: ect.).

Explain

Identify specific pollutants, if know:

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

Riparian Buffer Characteristics (type, average width):

Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:

Habitat for:

Federally Listed Species Explain:

Fish/Spawn Areas Explain:

Other environmentally-sensitive species Explain:

Aquatic/Wildlife Diversity Explain:

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in cumulative analysis:

Wetland acres in total being considered in cumulative analysis:

Describe each wetland (directly abuts tributary?; size in acres; overall biological, chemical or physical functions):

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNW.

Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to section III.D:

2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:

TNWs Linear Feet: Width (ft): TNW Acres

Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: Acres:

2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs**

Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and Rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:

Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters Linear Feet: Width (Ft).

Other non-wetland waters: Acres:

3. **Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion provided at section III.c.

Provide estimates of jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

Length (Linear Feet): Width (feet): Acres:

4. **Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting and RPW:

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "Seasonally". Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2 above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting and RPW

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area: Acres:

5. **Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area: Acres:

6. **Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C..

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area (in acres):

7. **Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.**

Demonstration of Jurisdiction:

E. ISOLATED WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE

Supporting rationale:

Length (linear feet): Acres:

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS:

Non-Jurisdictional Waters:

Explain finding of no Significant Nexus:

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non wetland-Waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet : width (ft):
- Other Non-wetland Waters MBR acres:
- Wetlands MBR acres:

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters linear feet (ft), width (ft)
- Other waters acres
- Wetlands acres

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, Plans, Plots or Plat Submitted by Applicant/Consultant:
- Data Sheets Prepared/Submitted by or on behalf of Applicant/Consultant
 - Office Concurrs with data sheets/delineation report
 - Office Does Not Concur with data sheets/delineation report
- Data Sheets Prepared by the Corps
- Corps Navigable Water Study
- US Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas
 - USGS NHD Data
 - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC Maps
- US Geological Survey Map(s) Scale and Quad Name:

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S Army Cops of Engineers

Feature ID: **G1**

- USDA Nat'l Res Conservation Service Soil Survey Citation:
- National Wetlands Inventory Maps Cite Map Name:
- State/Local Wetland Inventory Maps
- FEMA/FIRM Maps:
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Aerial Photographs (Name and Date):
- Other Photographs (Name and Date):
- Previous Determinations File No. and Date of Response Letter:
- Applicable/Supporting Case Law Citation:
- Applicable/Supporting Scientific Literature Citation:
- Other Information, Please Specify:

Additional Comments to Support JD:

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD)

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Drainage Feature

State: County/Parish/borough: City:

Center coordinates of site: Lat. Long.

Name of nearest waterbody:

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows:

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):

- Check if map/diagram of review are and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request
- Check if other sites (e.g, offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date:
- Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 in the review area.

- Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
- Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of water of U.S. in review area (Check all the apply):

- TNWs (new)
- Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
- Relatively permanent waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
- Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area

Non-Wetlands waters Linear Feet Width (ft) and/or Acres
Wetlands Acres:

c. Limits (boundaries) of Jurisdiction based on:

2. Non-Regulated Waters/Wetlands (check if applicable):

- Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined not to be jurisdictional:

Explain:

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: Vegetation

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent"

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY)

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

| | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| Watershed Size (sq mi): | 49650 |
| Drainage Area (sq mi): | > 37.7 |
| Average Annual Rainfall (in): | 10.57 |
| Average Annual Snowfall (in): | 1.1 |

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW

- Tributary flows directly to TNW
- Tributary flows through tributaries before entering TNW

Project waters are river miles from TNW

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S Army Cops of Engineers

Feature ID:

Project waters are river Miles from tributary to RPW:
Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from tributary to TNW:
Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from tributary to RPW:
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
Identify flow route to TNW

(b) General Tributary Characteristics

Tributary is: Explain:

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Average Width (ft):
Average Depth (ft):
Average Side Slopes:

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

- Silts Sands Concrete Muck
 Cobbles Gravel
 Bedrock Substrate - Vegetation Other, Explain:

Tributary Condition/Stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks. Explain:
Presence of Run/Riffle/Pool Complexes. Explain:
Tributary Geometry:
Tributary Gradient (approximate average slope):

(c) Flow:

Tributary Provides for:
Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year:
Describe Flow Regime:
Other Information on Duration and Volume:

Surface Flow is: Characteristics:
Subsurface Flow: Explain:
 Dye (or other) test performed:

Tributary Has (Check all that apply):

- Bed and Banks
 OHWM (check all the apply): OHWM Indicators:
 Clear, natural line impressed on the bank Presence of litter and debris
 Changes in soil character Destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 Shelving Presence of wrack line
 Vegetation matted down, bent or absent Sediment sorting
 Leaf litter disturbed or washed away Scour
 Sediment deposition Multiple observed or predicted flow events
 Water staining Abrupt change in plant community

Other (list):

Discontinuous? Explain:

If factors other than the OHWN were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (Check all that apply):

- High tide line indicated by:
- Mean High water Mark indicated by:
- oil or scum line along shore objects
- survey to available datum
- fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)
- physical markings
- physical markings/characteristics
- vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types
- tidal gauges
- other

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize Tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc):

Explain:

Identify Specific Pollutants, if known:

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

Riparian Corridor Characteristics:

Wetland Fringe Characteristics:

Habitat for:

- Federally Listed Species Explain findings:
- Fish/Spawn Areas Explain findings:
- Other environmentally -sensitive species Explain findings:
- Aquatic/Wildlife diversity Explain:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics

Properties:

Wetland Size (ac):

Wetland Type, Explain:

Wetland Quality, Explain:

Project Wetlands Cross or Serve as State Boundaries, Explain:

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Wetland Flow is: Explain:

Surface Flow is:

Characteristics:

Subsurface Flow: Explain Findings:

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

- Wetland Directly Abutting Non-TNW
- Wetland Not Directly Abutting Non-TNW
 - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection Explain:
 - Ecological connection Explain:
 - Separated by berm/barrier Explain:

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project Wetlands: River Miles from TNW:

Project Wetlands: Aerial Miles from TNW:

Flow is From:

Estimate approximate Location of Wetland within Floodplain:

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics: ect.).

Explain

Identify specific pollutants, if know:

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

Riparian Buffer Characteristics (type, average width):

Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:

Habitat for:

Federally Listed Species Explain:

Fish/Spawn Areas Explain:

Other environmentally-sensitive species Explain:

Aquatic/Wildlife Diversity Explain:

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in cumulative analysis:

Wetland acres in total being considered in cumulative analysis:

Describe each wetland (directly abuts tributary?; size in acres; overall biological, chemical or physical functions):

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNW.

Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to section III.D:

2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:

TNWs Linear Feet: Width (ft): TNW Acres

Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: Acres:

2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs**

Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and Rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:

Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters Linear Feet: Width (Ft).

Other non-wetland waters: Acres:

3. **Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion provided at section III.c.

Provide estimates of jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

Length (Linear Feet): Width (feet): Acres:

4. **Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting and RPW:

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "Seasonally". Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2 above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting and RPW

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area: Acres:

5. **Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area: Acres:

6. **Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C..

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area (in acres):

7. **Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.**

Demonstration of Jurisdiction:

E. ISOLATED WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE

Supporting rationale:

Length (linear feet): Acres:

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS:

Non-Jurisdictional Waters:

Explain finding of no Significant Nexus:

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non wetland-Waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet : width (ft):
- Other Non-wetland Waters MBR acres:
- Wetlands MBR acres:

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters linear feet (ft), width (ft)
- Other waters acres
- Wetlands acres

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, Plans, Plots or Plat Submitted by Applicant/Consultant:
- Data Sheets Prepared/Submitted by or on behalf of Applicant/Consultant
 - Office Concurs with data sheets/delineation report
 - Office Does Not Concur with data sheets/delineation report
- Data Sheets Prepared by the Corps
- Corps Navigable Water Study
- US Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas
 - USGS NHD Data
 - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC Maps
- US Geological Survey Map(s) Scale and Quad Name:

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S Army Cops of Engineers

Feature ID:

- USDA Nat'l Res Conservation Service Soil Survey Citation:
- National Wetlands Inventory Maps Cite Map Name:
- State/Local Wetland Inventory Maps
- FEMA/FIRM Maps:
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Aerial Photographs (Name and Date):
- Other Photographs (Name and Date):
- Previous Determinations File No. and Date of Response Letter:
- Applicable/Supporting Case Law Citation:
- Applicable/Supporting Scientific Literature Citation:
- Other Information, Please Specify:

Additional Comments to Support JD:

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD) []

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER [Los Angeles District, File No. Pending]

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Drainage Feature [I]

State: [AZ] County/Parish/borough: [Pima County] City: [Tucson]

Center coordinates of site: Lat. [32.1402°N] Long. [-111.1537°W]

Name of nearest waterbody: []

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows:
[Gila River between Powers Butte and Gillespie Dam]

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): [15050304]

- Check if map/diagram of review are and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request
- Check if other sites (e.g, offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date: []
- Field Determination. Date(s): [10/2013]

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There [Are No] "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 in the review area.

- Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
- Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There [Are No] "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of water of U.S. in review area (Check all the apply):

- TNWs (new)
- Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
- Relatively permanent waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
- Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area

Non-Wetlands waters [] Linear Feet [] Width (ft) and/or [] Acres
Wetlands Acres: []

c. Limits (boundaries) of Jurisdiction based on:

2. Non-Regulated Waters/Wetlands (check if applicable):

- Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined not to be jurisdictional:

Explain: [Drainage is ephemeral and does not qualify as a TNW or RPW. Therefore, this drainage could only be considered jurisdictional if it possessed a significant nexus with a downstream TNW. This drainage does not possess a significant nexus with the downstream TNW.]

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: Vegetation

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent"

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY)

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

| | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| Watershed Size (sq mi): | 49650 |
| Drainage Area (sq mi): | > 37.7 |
| Average Annual Rainfall (in): | 10.57 |
| Average Annual Snowfall (in): | 1.1 |

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW

- Tributary flows directly to TNW
- Tributary flows through tributaries before entering TNW

Project waters are river miles from TNW

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S Army Cops of Engineers

Feature ID: I

Project waters are 30 (or more) river Miles from tributary to RPW:
Project waters are 30 (or more) aerial (straight) miles from tributary to TNW:
Project waters are 30 (or more) aerial (straight) miles from tributary to RPW:
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
Identify flow route to TNW Black Wash, Brawley Wash, Greene Canal, Green Wash, Santa Rosa Wash, Santa Cruz Wash, then to Gila River.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics

Tributary is: Natural Explain:

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Average Width (ft): 3.60
Average Depth (ft):
Average Side Slopes:

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

- Silts Sands Concrete Muck
- Cobbles Gravel
- Bedrock Substrate - Vegetation Other, Explain:

Tributary Condition/Stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks. Explain: Stable.
Presence of Run/Riffle/Pool Complexes. Explain: Not present.
Tributary Geometry: Relatively Straight
Tributary Gradient (approximate average slope): 1%

(c) Flow:

Tributary Provides for: Ephemeral Flow
Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 2-5
Describe Flow Regime: Ephemeral
Other Information on Duration and Volume:

Surface Flow is: Discrete Characteristics:
Subsurface Flow: No Explain:
 Dye (or other) test performed:

Tributary Has (Check all that apply):

- Bed and Banks
- OHWM (check all the apply): OHWM Indicators:
 - Clear, natural line impressed on the bank
 - Changes in soil character
 - Shelving
 - Vegetation matted down, bent or absent
 - Leaf litter disturbed or washed away
 - Sediment deposition
 - Water staining
 - Presence of litter and debris
 - Destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 - Presence of wrack line
 - Sediment sorting
 - Scour
 - Multiple observed or predicted flow events
 - Abrupt change in plant community

Other (list):

Discontinuous? Explain:

If factors other than the OHWN were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (Check all that apply):

- High tide line indicated by:
- oil or scum line along shore objects
- fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)
- physical markings/characteristics
- tidal gauges
- other
- Mean High water Mark indicated by:
- survey to available datum
- physical markings
- vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize Tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc):

Explain:

Identify Specific Pollutants, if known:

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

Riparian Corridor Characteristics:

Wetland Fringe Characteristics:

Habitat for:

- Federally Listed Species Explain findings:
- Fish/Spawn Areas Explain findings:
- Other environmentally -sensitive species Explain findings:
- Aquatic/Wildlife diversity Explain:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics

Properties:

Wetland Size (ac):

Wetland Type, Explain:

Wetland Quality, Explain:

Project Wetlands Cross or Serve as State Boundaries, Explain:

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Wetland Flow is: Explain:

Surface Flow is:

Characteristics:

Subsurface Flow: Explain Findings:

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

- Wetland Directly Abutting Non-TNW
- Wetland Not Directly Abutting Non-TNW
 - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection Explain:
 - Ecological connection Explain:
 - Separated by berm/barrier Explain:

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project Wetlands: River Miles from TNW:

Project Wetlands: Aerial Miles from TNW:

Flow is From:

Estimate approximate Location of Wetland within Floodplain:

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics: ect.).

Explain

Identify specific pollutants, if know:

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

Riparian Buffer Characteristics (type, average width):

Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:

Habitat for:

Federally Listed Species Explain:

Fish/Spawn Areas Explain:

Other environmentally-sensitive species Explain:

Aquatic/Wildlife Diversity Explain:

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in cumulative analysis:

Wetland acres in total being considered in cumulative analysis:

Describe each wetland (directly abuts tributary?; size in acres; overall biological, chemical or physical functions):

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNW.

Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to section III.D:

2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:

TNWs Linear Feet: Width (ft): TNW Acres

Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: Acres:

2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs**

Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and Rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:

Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters Linear Feet: Width (Ft).

Other non-wetland waters: Acres:

3. **Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion provided at section III.c.

Provide estimates of jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

Length (Linear Feet): Width (feet): Acres:

4. **Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting and RPW:

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "Seasonally". Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2 above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting and RPW

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area: Acres:

5. **Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area: Acres:

6. **Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C..

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area (in acres):

7. **Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.**

Demonstration of Jurisdiction:

E. ISOLATED WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE

Supporting rationale:

Length (linear feet): Acres:

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS:

Non-Jurisdictional Waters: Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.

Explain finding of no Significant Nexus: None of the ephemeral drainages within the Analysis Area have more than an insubstantial or speculative effect on the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the downgradient TNW reach of the Gila River between Powers Butte and Gillespie Dam.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non wetland-Waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet : width (ft):
- Other Non-wetland Waters MBR acres:
- Wetlands MBR acres:

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters 46.10 linear feet (ft), 3.60 width (ft)
- Other waters acres
- Wetlands acres

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, Plans, Plots or Plat Submitted by Applicant/Consultant: WestLand Resources, Inc.
- Data Sheets Prepared/Submitted by or on behalf of Applicant/Consultant
 - Office Concurs with data sheets/delineation report
 - Office Does Not Concur with data sheets/delineation report
- Data Sheets Prepared by the Corps
- Corps Navigable Water Study
- US Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas
 - USGS NHD Data
 - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC Maps
- US Geological Survey Map(s) Scale and Quad Name: Brown Mountain and San Xavier Mission SW 7.5-Minute Quadrangles

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S Army Cops of Engineers

Feature ID: I

- USDA Nat'l Res Conservation Service Soil Survey Citation:
- National Wetlands Inventory Maps Cite Map Name:
- State/Local Wetland Inventory Maps
- FEMA/FIRM Maps:
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Aerial Photographs (Name and Date): ESRI Online Microsoft 2010
- Other Photographs (Name and Date): Ground Photos; October 2013
- Previous Determinations File No. and Date of Response Letter: SPL-2006-01833-MB
- Applicable/Supporting Case Law Citation:
- Applicable/Supporting Scientific Literature Citation:
- Other Information, Please Specify:

Additional Comments to Support JD:

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD) []

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER [Los Angeles District, File No. Pending]

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Drainage Feature [J]

State: [AZ] County/Parish/borough: [Pima County] City: [Tucson]

Center coordinates of site: Lat. [32.1402°N] Long. [-111.1537°W]

Name of nearest waterbody: []

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows:
[Gila River between Powers Butte and Gillespie Dam]

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): [15050304]

- Check if map/diagram of review are and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request
- Check if other sites (e.g, offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date: []
- Field Determination. Date(s): [10/2013]

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There [Are No] "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 in the review area.

- Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
- Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There [Are No] "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of water of U.S. in review area (Check all the apply):

- TNWs (new)
- Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
- Relatively permanent waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
- Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area

Non-Wetlands waters [] Linear Feet [] Width (ft) and/or [] Acres
Wetlands Acres: []

c. Limits (boundaries) of Jurisdiction based on:

2. Non-Regulated Waters/Wetlands (check if applicable):

- Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined not to be jurisdictional:

Explain: [Drainage is ephemeral and does not qualify as a TNW or RPW. Therefore, this drainage could only be considered jurisdictional if it possessed a significant nexus with a downstream TNW. This drainage does not possess a significant nexus with the downstream TNW.]

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: Vegetation

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent"

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY)

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

| | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| Watershed Size (sq mi): | 49650 |
| Drainage Area (sq mi): | > 37.7 |
| Average Annual Rainfall (in): | 10.57 |
| Average Annual Snowfall (in): | 1.1 |

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW

- Tributary flows directly to TNW
- Tributary flows through tributaries before entering TNW

Project waters are river miles from TNW

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S Army Cops of Engineers

Feature ID: J

Project waters are 30 (or more) river Miles from tributary to RPW:
Project waters are 30 (or more) aerial (straight) miles from tributary to TNW:
Project waters are 30 (or more) aerial (straight) miles from tributary to RPW:
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
Identify flow route to TNW Black Wash, Brawley Wash, Greene Canal, Green Wash, Santa Rosa Wash, Santa Cruz Wash, then to Gila River.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics

Tributary is: Natural Explain:

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Average Width (ft): 5.60
Average Depth (ft):
Average Side Slopes:

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

- Silts Sands Concrete Muck
- Cobbles Gravel
- Bedrock Substrate - Vegetation Other, Explain:

Tributary Condition/Stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks. Explain: Stable.
Presence of Run/Riffle/Pool Complexes. Explain: Not present.
Tributary Geometry: Relatively Straight
Tributary Gradient (approximate average slope): 1%

(c) Flow:

Tributary Provides for: Ephemeral Flow
Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 2-5
Describe Flow Regime: Ephemeral
Other Information on Duration and Volume:

Surface Flow is: Discrete Characteristics:
Subsurface Flow: No Explain:
 Dye (or other) test performed:

Tributary Has (Check all that apply):

- Bed and Banks
- OHWM (check all the apply): OHWM Indicators:
 - Clear, natural line impressed on the bank
 - Changes in soil character
 - Shelving
 - Vegetation matted down, bent or absent
 - Leaf litter disturbed or washed away
 - Sediment deposition
 - Water staining
 - Presence of litter and debris
 - Destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 - Presence of wrack line
 - Sediment sorting
 - Scour
 - Multiple observed or predicted flow events
 - Abrupt change in plant community

Other (list):

Discontinuous? Explain:

If factors other than the OHWN were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (Check all that apply):

- High tide line indicated by:
- oil or scum line along shore objects
- fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)
- physical markings/characteristics
- tidal gauges
- other
- Mean High water Mark indicated by:
- survey to available datum
- physical markings
- vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize Tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc):

Explain:

Identify Specific Pollutants, if known:

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

Riparian Corridor Characteristics:

Wetland Fringe Characteristics:

Habitat for:

Federally Listed Species Explain findings:

Fish/Spawn Areas Explain findings:

Other environmentally -sensitive species Explain findings:

Aquatic/Wildlife diversity Explain:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics

Properties:

Wetland Size (ac):

Wetland Type, Explain:

Wetland Quality, Explain:

Project Wetlands Cross or Serve as State Boundaries, Explain:

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Wetland Flow is: Explain:

Surface Flow is:

Characteristics:

Subsurface Flow: Explain Findings:

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Wetland Directly Abutting Non-TNW

Wetland Not Directly Abutting Non-TNW

Discrete wetland hydrologic connection Explain:

Ecological connection Explain:

Separated by berm/barrier Explain:

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project Wetlands: River Miles from TNW:

Project Wetlands: Aerial Miles from TNW:

Flow is From: []

Estimate approximate Location of Wetland within Floodplain: []

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics: ect.).

Explain []

Identify specific pollutants, if know:

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

Riparian Buffer Characteristics (type, average width): []

Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: []

Habitat for:

Federally Listed Species Explain: []

Fish/Spawn Areas Explain: []

Other environmentally-sensitive species Explain: []

Aquatic/Wildlife Diversity Explain: []

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in cumulative analysis: []

Wetland acres in total being considered in cumulative analysis: []

Describe each wetland (directly abuts tributary?; size in acres; overall biological, chemical or physical functions):

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNW.

Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to section III.D:

2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:

TNWs Linear Feet: Width (ft): TNW Acres

Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: Acres:

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and Rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:

Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters Linear Feet: Width (Ft).

Other non-wetland waters: Acres:

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion provided at section III.c.

Provide estimates of jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

Length (Linear Feet): Width (feet): Acres:

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting and RPW:

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "Seasonally". Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2 above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting and RPW

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area: Acres:

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area: Acres:

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C..

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area (in acres):

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.

Demonstration of Jurisdiction:

E. ISOLATED WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE

Supporting rationale:

Length (linear feet): Acres:

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS:

Non-Jurisdictional Waters: Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.

Explain finding of no Significant Nexus: None of the ephemeral drainages within the Analysis Area have more than an insubstantial or speculative effect on the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the downgradient TNW reach of the Gila River between Powers Butte and Gillespie Dam.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non wetland-Waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet : width (ft):
- Other Non-wetland Waters MBR acres:
- Wetlands MBR acres:

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters 31.10 linear feet (ft), 5.60 width (ft)
- Other waters acres
- Wetlands acres

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, Plans, Plots or Plat Submitted by Applicant/Consultant: WestLand Resources, Inc.
- Data Sheets Prepared/Submitted by or on behalf of Applicant/Consultant
 - Office Concurs with data sheets/delineation report
 - Office Does Not Concur with data sheets/delineation report
- Data Sheets Prepared by the Corps
- Corps Navigable Water Study
- US Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas
 - USGS NHD Data
 - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC Maps
- US Geological Survey Map(s) Scale and Quad Name: Brown Mountain and San Xavier Mission SW 7.5-Minute Quadrangles

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S Army Cops of Engineers

Feature ID: J

- USDA Nat'l Res Conservation Service Soil Survey Citation:
- National Wetlands Inventory Maps Cite Map Name:
- State/Local Wetland Inventory Maps
- FEMA/FIRM Maps:
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Aerial Photographs (Name and Date):
- Other Photographs (Name and Date):
- Previous Determinations File No. and Date of Response Letter:
- Applicable/Supporting Case Law Citation:
- Applicable/Supporting Scientific Literature Citation:
- Other Information, Please Specify:

Additional Comments to Support JD:

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD)

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Drainage Feature

State: County/Parish/borough: City:

Center coordinates of site: Lat. Long.

Name of nearest waterbody:

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows:

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):

- Check if map/diagram of review are and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request
- Check if other sites (e.g, offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date:
- Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 in the review area.

- Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
- Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of water of U.S. in review area (Check all the apply):

- TNWs (new)
- Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
- Relatively permanent waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
- Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area

Non-Wetlands waters Linear Feet Width (ft) and/or Acres
Wetlands Acres:

c. Limits (boundaries) of Jurisdiction based on:

2. Non-Regulated Waters/Wetlands (check if applicable):

- Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined not to be jurisdictional:

Explain:

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: Vegetation

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent"

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY)

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

| | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| Watershed Size (sq mi): | 49650 |
| Drainage Area (sq mi): | > 37.7 |
| Average Annual Rainfall (in): | 10.57 |
| Average Annual Snowfall (in): | 1.1 |

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW

- Tributary flows directly to TNW
- Tributary flows through tributaries before entering TNW

Project waters are river miles from TNW

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S Army Cops of Engineers

Feature ID:

Project waters are river Miles from tributary to RPW:
Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from tributary to TNW:
Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from tributary to RPW:
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
Identify flow route to TNW

(b) General Tributary Characteristics

Tributary is: Explain:

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Average Width (ft):
Average Depth (ft):
Average Side Slopes:

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

- Silts Sands Concrete Muck
 Cobbles Gravel
 Bedrock Substrate - Vegetation Other, Explain:

Tributary Condition/Stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks. Explain:
Presence of Run/Riffle/Pool Complexes. Explain:
Tributary Geometry:
Tributary Gradient (approximate average slope):

(c) Flow:

Tributary Provides for:
Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year:
Describe Flow Regime:
Other Information on Duration and Volume:

Surface Flow is: Characteristics:
Subsurface Flow: Explain:
 Dye (or other) test performed:

Tributary Has (Check all that apply):

- Bed and Banks
 OHWM (check all the apply): OHWM Indicators:
 Clear, natural line impressed on the bank Presence of litter and debris
 Changes in soil character Destruction of terrestrial vegetation
 Shelving Presence of wrack line
 Vegetation matted down, bent or absent Sediment sorting
 Leaf litter disturbed or washed away Scour
 Sediment deposition Multiple observed or predicted flow events
 Water staining Abrupt change in plant community

Other (list):

Discontinuous? Explain:

If factors other than the OHWN were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (Check all that apply):

- High tide line indicated by:
- oil or scum line along shore objects
- fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)
- physical markings/characteristics
- tidal gauges
- other
- Mean High water Mark indicated by:
- survey to available datum
- physical markings
- vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize Tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc):

Explain:

Identify Specific Pollutants, if known:

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

Riparian Corridor Characteristics:

Wetland Fringe Characteristics:

Habitat for:

- Federally Listed Species Explain findings:
- Fish/Spawn Areas Explain findings:
- Other environmentally -sensitive species Explain findings:
- Aquatic/Wildlife diversity Explain:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics

Properties:

Wetland Size (ac):

Wetland Type, Explain:

Wetland Quality, Explain:

Project Wetlands Cross or Serve as State Boundaries, Explain:

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Wetland Flow is: Explain:

Surface Flow is:

Characteristics:

Subsurface Flow: Explain Findings:

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

- Wetland Directly Abutting Non-TNW
- Wetland Not Directly Abutting Non-TNW
 - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection Explain:
 - Ecological connection Explain:
 - Separated by berm/barrier Explain:

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project Wetlands: River Miles from TNW:

Project Wetlands: Aerial Miles from TNW:

Flow is From:

Estimate approximate Location of Wetland within Floodplain:

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics: ect.).

Explain

Identify specific pollutants, if know:

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

Riparian Buffer Characteristics (type, average width):

Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:

Habitat for:

Federally Listed Species Explain:

Fish/Spawn Areas Explain:

Other environmentally-sensitive species Explain:

Aquatic/Wildlife Diversity Explain:

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in cumulative analysis:

Wetland acres in total being considered in cumulative analysis:

Describe each wetland (directly abuts tributary?; size in acres; overall biological, chemical or physical functions):

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to section III.D:

2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:

TNWs Linear Feet: Width (ft): TNW Acres

Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: Acres:

2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs**

Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and Rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:

Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters Linear Feet: Width (Ft).

Other non-wetland waters: Acres:

3. **Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion provided at section III.c.

Provide estimates of jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

Length (Linear Feet): Width (feet): Acres:

4. **Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting and RPW:

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "Seasonally". Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2 above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting and RPW

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area: Acres:

5. **Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area: Acres:

6. **Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C..

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetland in the review area (in acres):

7. **Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.**

Demonstration of Jurisdiction:

E. ISOLATED WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE

Supporting rationale:

Length (linear feet): Acres:

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS:

Non-Jurisdictional Waters:

Explain finding of no Significant Nexus:

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non wetland-Waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet : width (ft):
- Other Non-wetland Waters MBR acres:
- Wetlands MBR acres:

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters linear feet (ft), width (ft)
- Other waters acres
- Wetlands acres

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, Plans, Plots or Plat Submitted by Applicant/Consultant:
- Data Sheets Prepared/Submitted by or on behalf of Applicant/Consultant
 - Office Concurs with data sheets/delineation report
 - Office Does Not Concur with data sheets/delineation report
- Data Sheets Prepared by the Corps
- Corps Navigable Water Study
- US Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas
 - USGS NHD Data
 - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC Maps
- US Geological Survey Map(s) Scale and Quad Name:

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM
U.S Army Cops of Engineers

Feature ID:

- USDA Nat'l Res Conservation Service Soil Survey Citation:
- National Wetlands Inventory Maps Cite Map Name:
- State/Local Wetland Inventory Maps
- FEMA/FIRM Maps:
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Aerial Photographs (Name and Date):
- Other Photographs (Name and Date):
- Previous Determinations File No. and Date of Response Letter:
- Applicable/Supporting Case Law Citation:
- Applicable/Supporting Scientific Literature Citation:
- Other Information, Please Specify:

Additional Comments to Support JD: