I. Participating Agencies /Attendees:

Morning session:

a. Jorine Campopiano (EPA)
b. Larry Simone† (CCC)
c. Mike Lyons† (RWQCB – Los Angeles)
d. Allan Ota† (EPA)
e. Robert Smith† (USACE- Regulatory)
f. Bill Paznokas† (CDFG - San Diego)
g. Kathryn Curtis (POLA)
h. Sandra Gomez (City of Long Beach)
i. Larry Smith (USACE – Planning)
j. Dan Swenson (USACE- Regulatory)
k. Larry Smith (USACE – Planning)
l. Barry Snyder (AMEC)
m. Spencer MacNeil (USACE- Regulatory)
n. Anne-Lise Lindquist (Moffatt & Nichol)
o. Bob Engler (Moffatt & Nichol)
p. Chris Webb (Moffatt & Nichol)
q. Lawrence Honma (Merkel & Assoc.)

† participating via teleconference.

II. CSTF Meeting: Cabrillo Beach

A. Draft meeting notes attached were sent out. Corrections/revisions have occurred separately from SC-DMMT process. For final notes, please contact Port of Los Angeles (Kathryn Curtis, 310-732-3681).

III. Project Review and Determinations

A. SANDAG Regional Beach Sand Project II – proposed SAP

   a. Discussion:

      i. Three sites (SO-6, SO-5, MB-1) are proposed for sampling and subsequent dredging for the purpose of providing beach nourishment material.
      ii. Proposed dredging depth of 10 ft MSL, including 2 ft of overdredging
      iii. SO-6 is located near an existing outfall; however, the applicant asserted the outfall is too deep and far (in comparison with
proposed dredging location: 100 ft deeper, 4000 ft horizontally) for contamination to reach the site.

iv. EPA did not have appendix B. Applicant will provide this.

v. Applicant asserted exclusionary criteria and/or a Tier I analysis only are applicable; however, they are proposing to conduct limited testing of 2 ft cores collected by divers. Cores would be analyzed for grain size distribution and bulk chemistry.

b. **Agency Determination:**

i. EPA:

1. Stated that core depths of previous testing should be clarified.
2. Recommended confirmatory sampling from previous sampling sites (three 2 ft cores in "L-shaped" formation) at site MB-1
3. Agreed contamination not likely to move up to proposed dredging site SO-6
4. Oct 6, 2009 memo incorrectly states that agencies concurred with testing plan
5. One additional core should be added for the SO-5 site.

ii. Corps:

1. Recommended using different site designations as locations had changed.
2. Any final approval of testing will take place after first round of testing results reviewed by agencies. Additional testing may be required.
3. Applicant should provide (or identify, if already provided) analysis to support conclusion that outfall near SO-6 could not have contaminated site.

IV. **Agency only discussion:**

a. Tentative plans for an agency-only training were discussed. The topic of training would be Sampling and Suitability Determination for Dredged Material and Beach Nourishment (draft title). Training will likely occur in one day during January. Tentative dates: Jan 13, 20, 21, 26, 28.