# SC-DMMT meeting notes – June 4, 2008

*Morro Bay dredging* (Kirk Brus – project manager)

2001 – decision to develop 6-year dredging program

Public draft EA for new 6-year period sent out for review recently

SAP finalized in January 2008 (Appendix D in public draft EA)

Preliminary test results in April 2008

Final test results in May 2008 (Appendix E)

Overview of map – shoals, eel grass areas, extent of Federal Channel relative to Navy,

US Coast Guard, and Morro sections

Coordination with NOAA re. eel grass beds

Corps – seeking concurrence that material is suitable for beach nourishment

Overdredge depth: 2 feet paid and additional 1 foot unpaid (non-pay); volume of dredged material represented by 1-foot nonpay layer is not included in the volume calculations;

need to add this volume (about 30,000 m3) to the overall calculated volume

Sediments are mostly sand; most of the cores are greater than 97% sand

Nickel – naturally occurring (serpentine)

Hopper dredge – used for dredging and near shore placement of sand (sub-littoral zone) CCC (Larry Simon) – views this as standard dredging project; previous concurrence; no issues foreseen

RB – no issues

Corps has coordinated with DFG

\* 30-day comment period – ends July 2; however, request for expedited concurrence (within next week or so) to take advantage of scheduled hopper dredge

EPA – commented that the dredging footprint appeared to include a small eel grass area. Corps stated that the dredging footprint avoided all eel grass areas. EPA recommended updating the dredging footprint map to clearly show avoidance of the eel grass beds.

*Surfside Sunset beach replenishment* – shoreline protection (Larry Smith)

Corps project - 1.75 mcy dredging (sand) from offshore borrow site – good source of sand; used this site for previous beach replenishment action

Provide protection for structures behind beach

Geotechnical data from 2000 sampling episode – regarded as still up to date; no changes in sediment physical characteristics have been expected since last sampling of offshore borrow site

100,000 cy from same borrow site – placement on Seal Beach (separate permit)

About 100,000 cy – transfer of sand by truck (dry hauling) – replenish nearby downcoast groin areas which have eroded – restore width to these beach areas

Comments by July 3, 2008

EPA – No EPA comments anticipated.

Anaheim Bay (Navy)

Dredging through Corps permit

Entrance channel material – beach suitable

Inner bay sediments – will undergo full Green Book testing (previous sediment chemistry and grain size analysis – when did this happen?)

About 700,000 – proposing bioassay only; expectation that sediment characteristics have not changed since the previous sediment physical and chemistry sampling RB comments – WDR to be issued (not 401 cert)

## Port: Hueneme

Hot spots identified in three areas

CAD (to be) constructed – sand (to be) placed on nearby beach – when is this scheduled? Contaminated sediments from hotspots to be placed in the bottom of CAD

Maintenance materials to be used as cap

Deepening project – supplemental EA to be prepared this year – CAD site already at the anticipated deepened depth

### Administrative items

SC-DMMT meetings to be scheduled for first Wednesday of each month – next meeting on July 2

Develop a SAP template – use SF-DMMO as starting point; to modify for LA District use

Complete SC-DMMT master agency member list

Develop standard list of analytes – useful for GIS application (for historical analysis)

# [Break for lunch]

#### Lido Yacht Harbor

Supplemental high resolution analysis – north of station "12" is OK for beach nourishment for lower stratum – data indicates that mercury level drop off dramatically after xx depth

# POLA Berths 145-147

Overview of sampling of marine and shore-side sediments – historical fill distinction below high tide mark; sediment above must be excavated and taken to upland site or reused on backlands

Acute toxicity in Site 2 and Lower Stratum composites (amphipod bioassay) – based on this, Site 2 and Lower Stratum sediments are not suitable for ocean disposal POLA attempted a higher resolution analysis of composite areas by analyzing the chemistry of the individual core archive samples – they are proposing that the northern half of Site 2 is suitable for ocean disposal; however, the PAH concentrations are elevated at each end (cores) on the order of 15+ highest levels, moderately elevated (about 3 ppm highest) in the middle sections; in another figure, it looks like they are proposing vertical segregation in the marine/adjacent to shore-side segment as well as in the shore-side (landward) section; clarification by POLA is necessary, separate conf call will be requested